

ZAHLENTHEORIE SS 2019 - NOTE 8

We saw last time the following Proposition

Prop: A noetherian domain, not a field.

A is a Dedekind domain $\Leftrightarrow A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a DVR for every nonzero prime \mathfrak{p} .

We proved (\Rightarrow) and the other direction (\Leftarrow) is in the exercises. The important direction is (\Rightarrow) in any case.

Another result that we are going to need from the exercises is:

Thm: [CHINESE REMAINDER THEOREM]

A ring. Two ideals $I, J \subseteq A$ are coprime if $I + J = A$.

Let I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n be ideals, pairwise coprime. Then

$$(1) I_1 \cap \dots \cap I_n = I_1 \cdot I_2 \cdot \dots \cdot I_n$$

(2) The natural map

$$A / I_1 \cdot \dots \cdot I_n \rightarrow A / I_1 \times \dots \times A / I_n$$

is an isomorphism.

proof: we prove it in the case of two ideals, the general

proof is in the exercises. Since $I_1 + I_2 = A$, there

are $x_1 \in I_1, x_2 \in I_2$ s.t. $x_1 + x_2 = 1$.

Now we move the two points:

(1) $I_1 \cdot I_2 \subseteq I_1 \cap I_2$ is always true.

For the converse, let $z \in I_1 \cap I_2$. Then

$$z = z \cdot 1 = z(x_1 + x_2) = \underbrace{zx_1}_{\in I_1 I_2} + \underbrace{zx_2}_{\in I_1 I_2} \in I_1 I_2$$

(2) Consider the natural map of rings

$$A \rightarrow A/I_1 \times A/I_2$$

$$a \mapsto (a+I_1, a+I_2)$$

We prove it is surjective: it is enough to prove that $(1, 0)$, $(0, 1)$ are in the image.

For $(1, 0)$ consider x_2 :

$$x_2 \in I_2 \Rightarrow x_2 + I_2 = 0 + I_2$$

$$x_2 + x_1 = 1 \Rightarrow x_2 + I_1 = 1 + I_1$$

Symmetric for $(0, 1)$.

The kernel of the map is $I_1 \cap I_2 = I_1 \cdot I_2$ by definition.

Hence we get $A/I_1 I_2 \cong A/I_1 \times A/I_2$. \square

Thm: UNIQUE FACTORIZATION OF IDEALS IN DEDEKIND DOMAIN
A Dedekind domain, $I \subseteq A$ nonzero ideal.

Then

$$I = \beta_1^{n_1} \cdots \beta_s^{n_s}$$

for certain distinct primes $\beta_i \subseteq A$ and $n_i > 0$.

Moreover, this factorization is unique.

proof: EXISTENCE OF FACTORIZATION

: since A is noetherian, \mathcal{I} contains a product of nonzero prime ideals: $\mathcal{I} \supseteq \mathfrak{q}_1^{m_1} \cdots \mathfrak{q}_r^{m_r}$.

Hence \mathcal{I} corresponds to an ideal in $A/\mathfrak{q}_1^{m_1} \cdots \mathfrak{q}_r^{m_r}$.

We observe that the ideals $\mathfrak{q}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{q}_r$ are coprime: indeed, since A is a Dedekind domain,

the ideals $\mathfrak{q}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{q}_r$ are maximal, and if $\mathfrak{q}_i, \mathfrak{q}_j$ are distinct, $\mathfrak{q}_i + \mathfrak{q}_j$ is an ideal that is strictly bigger than $\mathfrak{q}_i, \mathfrak{q}_j$. Since these are maximal, it follows that $\mathfrak{q}_i + \mathfrak{q}_j = A$.

Exercise: show that this implies $\mathfrak{q}_i^{m_i} + \mathfrak{q}_j^{m_j} = A$ as well.

Then, the CRT tells us that

$$A/\mathfrak{q}_1^{m_1} \cdots \mathfrak{q}_r^{m_r} \cong A/\mathfrak{q}_1^{m_1} \times \cdots \times A/\mathfrak{q}_r^{m_r}$$

Hence $\mathcal{I}/\mathfrak{q}_1^{m_1} \cdots \mathfrak{q}_r^{m_r}$ corresponds to an ideal in $A/\mathfrak{q}_1^{m_1} \times \cdots \times A/\mathfrak{q}_r^{m_r}$.

Exercise: every ideal in a finite product of rings $A_1 \times \cdots \times A_r$, is a product of ideals $\mathcal{I}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{I}_r$.

So we consider ideals in $A/\mathfrak{q}_i^{m_i}$:

Lemma. The ideals in $A/\mathfrak{q}_i^{m_i}$ are all of the

Lemma: the ideal \mathfrak{a} has the form $q_i^{n_i} / q_i^{m_i}$ for $0 \leq n_i \leq m_i$.

Suppose that the lemma is true: then we see that

$$\frac{I}{q_1^{m_1} \cdots q_r^{m_r}} \cong \frac{q_1^{n_1}}{q_1^{m_1}} \times \cdots \times \frac{q_r^{n_r}}{q_r^{m_r}}$$

$$\cong \frac{q_1^{n_1} q_2^{n_2} \cdots q_r^{n_r}}{q_1^{m_1} \cdots q_r^{m_r}}$$

Hence

$$I = q_1^{n_1} \cdots q_r^{n_r}$$

and we can leave out the q_i s.t. $n_i = 0$, because then $q_i^{n_i} = A$.

Now we prove the Lemma:

proof of Lemma: in general, let A be a Dedekind domain and $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq A$ a nonzeroprime. Then A/\mathfrak{q}^m is a local ring with unique maximal ideal $\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{q}^m$. Then

$$A/\mathfrak{q}^m \cong \left(A/\mathfrak{q}^m \right)_{\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{q}^m} \cong A\mathfrak{q} / (\mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{q})^m$$

localization at a maximal ideal in a local ring is an iso

properties of localization $(A/\mathfrak{I})_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{I}_{\mathfrak{p}}$

But $A\mathfrak{q}$ is a DVR, because A is Dedekind, hence all the ideals are of the form \mathfrak{q}^n , and $\mathfrak{q}^n \supseteq \mathfrak{q}^m$ iff $0 \leq n \leq m$. □

UNIQUENESS OF FACTORIZATION: now we prove that factorization is unique. So, suppose that $I = \mathfrak{p}_1^{n_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_s^{n_s}$, $n_i > 0$.

Now, let $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq A$ be any nonzero prime. We look at the localization $I_{\mathfrak{q}}$ in $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$. We have

$$I_{\mathfrak{q}} = (\mathfrak{p}_{1\mathfrak{q}})^{n_1} \cdots (\mathfrak{p}_{s\mathfrak{q}})^{n_s}$$

Moreover, we know that

$$\mathfrak{p}_{i\mathfrak{q}} \neq A_{\mathfrak{q}} \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{p}_i \subseteq \mathfrak{q} \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{p}_i = \mathfrak{q}$$

Hence, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\mathfrak{q}} &= A_{\mathfrak{q}} \quad \text{if } \mathfrak{q} \notin \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_s\} \\ I_{\mathfrak{p}_i} &= \mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the primes appearing in the decomposition of I are

$$\{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_s\} = \{\mathfrak{q} \mid I_{\mathfrak{q}} \neq A_{\mathfrak{q}}\}$$

so they are uniquely determined by I .

Moreover, the exponents are also uniquely determined by I , because they are determined by $I_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$. \square

Remark: let $I \subseteq A$ be a nonzero ideal. Then, for every

nonzero prime $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq A$ the ideal $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is of the form

$$I_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{p}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}(I)}, \text{ for an uniquely determined exponent } e_{\mathfrak{p}}(I).$$

Then, we can write the unique factorization as

$$I = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \subseteq A} \mathfrak{p}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}(I)}$$

$$p \nmid (0)$$

where $e_p(I) = 0$ for all p but finitely many.

Now, we prove that the rings of integers of a number field are Dedekind domains.

Lemma: Let $A \subseteq B$ be rings and $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq B$ a prime ideal. Then $\mathfrak{p} \cap A$ is a prime ideal in A .

proof: easy exercise □

Lemma: Let K be a number field and \mathcal{O}_K the ring of integers. If $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ is a nonzero prime then $\mathbb{Z} \cap \mathfrak{p}$ is a nonzero prime.

proof: Let $\alpha \in \mathfrak{p}, \alpha \neq 0$ and let $m_\alpha(x)$ be the minimal polynomial of α over \mathbb{Q} :

$$\alpha^n + a_{n-1}\alpha^{n-1} + \dots + a_1\alpha + a_0 = 0$$

Then we know that the $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, because α is integral over \mathbb{Z} . Moreover, $a_0 \neq 0$, because

$$a_0 = (-1)^n N_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) \neq 0.$$

Hence, we see that

$$\mathbb{Z} \cap \mathfrak{p} \ni a_0 = -\alpha^n - a_{n-1}\alpha^{n-1} - \dots - a_1\alpha$$

Hence $a_0 \in \mathbb{Z} \cap \mathfrak{p}$ and $a_0 \neq 0$. □

Remark: The same proof shows the following: $A =$ integrally closed domain, $F = \text{Frac } A$, K/F finite extension, $R =$ integral closure of A in K . If $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq R$ is a nonzero prime, then $\mathfrak{p} \cap A$ is a nonzero prime.

Lemma: Let $k \subseteq A$ be a finite extension of rings where $k =$ field, $A =$ domain. Then A is a field as well.

proof: Let $\alpha \in A, \alpha \neq 0$. Then the multiplication map $\alpha: A \rightarrow A$ is a k -linear map which is injective. Since A is a domain. Since A is a finite-dimensional vector space, it is also surjective. Hence there is $x \in A$ s.t. $\alpha x = 1$. □

Prop: Let K be a number field and \mathcal{O}_K a number ring. Then \mathcal{O}_K is a Dedekind domain.

proof: We know already that \mathcal{O}_K is noetherian and that it is integrally closed. We need to prove that it has dimension one: this means two things

= every nonzero prime is maximal: Let $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ be a nonzero prime. Then $\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathbb{Z}$ is a nonzero prime $\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathbb{Z} = p\mathbb{Z}$. The dimension

Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_K & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathbb{Z} \end{array}$$

Since \mathcal{O}_K is finite over \mathbb{Z} , $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}$ is finite over $\mathbb{Z}/\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathbb{Z}$: if x_1, \dots, x_n are generators of \mathcal{O}_K over \mathbb{Z} then $\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n$ are generators of $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}$ over $\mathbb{Z}/\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathbb{Z}$.
Now we observe that $\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathbb{Z}$ is nonzero, hence maximal. So $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}$ is a finite extension of $\mathbb{Z}/\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathbb{Z}$, which is a field. Since $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}$ is a domain, it must be a field by the previous lemma.

So, \mathfrak{p} is maximal.

a. \mathcal{O}_K is not a field (so that there is a nonzero prime):

If \mathcal{O}_K is a field, then $\mathcal{O}_K \supseteq \mathbb{Q}$, because $\mathcal{O}_K \supseteq \mathbb{Z}$.
But then every element of \mathbb{Q} would be integral over \mathbb{Z} , which is absurd because \mathbb{Z} is integrally closed. □

Remark: With the same proof one shows the following:

$A =$ Dedekind domain, $F = \text{Frac } A$

$K/F =$ finite extension, $R =$ integral closure of A in K .

Then R is a Dedekind domain.

Cor: Let K be a number field. Then every nonzero ideal $I \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ factors uniquely as a product of nonzero prime ideals: $I = \mathfrak{p}_1^{n_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_s^{n_s}$.

Example: The ring of integers of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5})$ is $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$. Here we know that we have two different factorizations

$$2 \cdot 3 = 6 = (1 + \sqrt{-5})(1 - \sqrt{-5})$$

however, if we look at the ideal generated by 6 in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$ we see that we get

$$(6) = (2)(3)$$

$$(6) = (1 + \sqrt{-5})(1 - \sqrt{-5})$$

Let's look at the unique factorization of (6) into primes. We claim that this is

$$(2) = (2, 1 + \sqrt{-5})^2$$

$$(3) = (3, 1 + \sqrt{-5})(3, 1 - \sqrt{-5})$$

$$(1 + \sqrt{-5}) = (2, 1 + \sqrt{-5})(3, 1 + \sqrt{-5})$$

$$(1 - \sqrt{-5}) = (2, 1 + \sqrt{-5})(3, 1 - \sqrt{-5})$$

First we check that those identities are true:

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet (2, 1 + \sqrt{-5})^2 &= (4, 2(1 + \sqrt{-5}), 1 + 2\sqrt{-5} - 5) \\ &= (4, 2 + 2\sqrt{-5}, -4 + 2\sqrt{-5}) \\ &= (4, 2 + 2\sqrt{-5}, 2\sqrt{-5}) \\ &= (4, 2, 2\sqrt{-5}) = (2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\bullet (3, 1 + \sqrt{-5})(3, 1 - \sqrt{-5}) = (9, 3 - 3\sqrt{-5}, 3 + 3\sqrt{-5}, 6)$$

$$= (3)$$

because containment is always true and $3 = 9 - 6$.

$$\bullet (2, 1 + \sqrt{-5})(3, 1 + \sqrt{-5}) = (6, 2 + 2\sqrt{-5}, 3 + 3\sqrt{-5}, 6) \\ = (6, 1 + \sqrt{-5}) = (1 + \sqrt{-5})$$

becomp

$$1 + \sqrt{-5} = 3 + 3\sqrt{-5} - (2 + 2\sqrt{-5})$$

$$\bullet (2, 1 + \sqrt{-5})(3, 1 - \sqrt{-5}) \text{ is analogous.}$$

Now we need to prove that

$(2, 1 + \sqrt{-5}), (3, 1 + \sqrt{-5}), (3, 1 - \sqrt{-5})$
are primes in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$.

$$\bullet (2, 1 + \sqrt{-5}) : \text{ we have } \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}] = \mathbb{Z}[x]/(x^2 + 5)$$

$$\text{hence } \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}] / (2, 1 + \sqrt{-5}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[x] / (x^2 + 5, 2, 1 + x)$$

$$\cong \mathbb{F}_2[x] / (x^2 + 5, x + 1)$$

$$x^2 + 5 = \\ = x^2 + 1 = (x + 1)^2$$

$$\cong \mathbb{F}_2[x] / (x + 1) \cong \mathbb{F}_2$$

$$\bullet (3, 1 + \sqrt{-5}) : \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}] / (3, 1 + \sqrt{-5}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[x] / (x^2 + 5, 3, 1 + x)$$

$$\cong \mathbb{F}_3[x] / (x^2 + 5, x + 1)$$

We see that $(-1)^2 + 5 = 6 = 0$ in \mathbb{F}_3 , hence

$x + 1$ divides $x^2 + 5$, so $(x^2 + 5, x + 1) = (x + 1)$

$$\mathbb{F}_3[x] / (x+1)^2 \cong \mathbb{F}_3$$

• analogous.

So the unique factorization of (6) into primes is:

$$(6) = (2, 1 + \sqrt{-5})^2 (3, 1 + \sqrt{-5}) (3, 1 - \sqrt{-5}).$$

Some more remarks on unique factorization

Cor: $\prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathfrak{p} \subseteq A$ nonzero ideals.

$\mathfrak{I} \subseteq \mathfrak{J} \iff \mathfrak{I}_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq \mathfrak{J}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for all nonzero primes $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq A$

In particular

$$\mathfrak{I} = \mathfrak{J} \iff \mathfrak{I}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{J}_{\mathfrak{p}} \quad " \quad " \quad "$$

proof: $\boxed{\implies}$ is clear

$\boxed{\impliedby}$ Let $\mathfrak{I} = \mathfrak{p}_1^{n_1} \dots \mathfrak{p}_s^{n_s}$ be the unique factorization of \mathfrak{I} . Let $\mathfrak{J} \supseteq \mathfrak{I}$ and suppose $\mathfrak{q} \notin \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_s\}$, then

$$A_{\mathfrak{q}} = \mathfrak{I}_{\mathfrak{q}} \subseteq \mathfrak{J}_{\mathfrak{q}}$$

hence $\mathfrak{J}_{\mathfrak{q}} = A_{\mathfrak{q}}$. So, the primes appearing in the unique factorization of \mathfrak{J} are amongst the $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_s$.

$$\mathfrak{J} = \mathfrak{p}_1^{m_1} \dots \mathfrak{p}_s^{m_s}$$

Now we have

$$\mathfrak{I} \subseteq \mathfrak{J} \implies \mathfrak{I}_{\mathfrak{p}_i} \subseteq \mathfrak{J}_{\mathfrak{p}_i} \implies n_i \leq m_i \leq n_i$$

$\prod p_i = \prod p_i \Rightarrow p_1 - p_1 \sim \dots$
 hence $\mathcal{J} \supseteq \mathcal{I}$. □

Moreover, in a Dedekind domain every ideal can be generated by two elements

Cor: $A =$ Dedekind domain, $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{J} \subseteq A$ nonzero ideals. Then $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{I} + (a)$ for a certain $a \in \mathcal{J}$.

proof: it is enough to prove that every ideal in A/\mathcal{I} is principal. Suppose $\mathcal{I} = p_1^{n_1} \dots p_s^{n_s}$. Then

$$A/\mathcal{I} \cong A/p_1^{n_1} \times \dots \times A/p_s^{n_s}$$

hence it is enough to prove that every ideal in $A/p_i^{n_i}$ is principal. However, we saw already that

$$A/p_i^{n_i} \cong A/p_i / p_i^{n_i}$$

and A/p_i is a DVR, so every ideal is principal. □

Cor Let A be a Dedekind domain. Then every ideal is generated by at most two elements

proof: if $\mathcal{I} \subseteq A$ is zero, clear.

if $\mathcal{I} \neq 0$, then take $a \in \mathcal{I}, a \neq 0$ and

apply previous corollary. □

Ultima modifica: 15:08