

# ZAHLENTHEORIE SS 2019 - NOTE 9

Today we look at the behaviour of prime ideals under extensions of Dedekind domains.

Our setting will be always the following

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \text{Dedekind domain} & (\text{e.g. } A = \mathbb{Z}) \\ F &= \text{Frac } A & (\text{e.g. } F = \mathbb{Q}) \\ K/F &= \text{finite field ext.} & (\text{e.g. } K = \text{number field}) \\ R &= \text{integral closure} & (\text{e.g. } R = \mathcal{O}_K) \\ & \text{of } A \text{ in } R \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq A$  be a nonzero prime, and let  $\mathfrak{p}R$  be the ideal generated by  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $R$ . Then, let

$$\mathfrak{p}R = \mathfrak{p}_1^{e_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r^{e_r}$$

be the unique factorization of  $\mathfrak{p}R$  in  $R$ .

In this case, we say that  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  LIES ABOVE  $\mathfrak{p}$  or  $\mathfrak{p}$  LIES BELOW  $\mathfrak{p}_i$ . This is justified by the following picture

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{p}_1^{e_1} & & \\ \mathfrak{p}_r^{e_r} & R & \text{---} K \\ | & & | \\ \mathfrak{p} & A & \text{---} F \end{array}$$

We make a couple of remarks

Rmk: (1) If  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  lies above  $\mathfrak{p}$ , then

$$\mathfrak{p}_i \cap A = \mathfrak{p}$$

proof: we know that  $\mathfrak{p}_i \supseteq \mathfrak{p}$  so that

$\mathfrak{p}_i \cap A \supseteq \mathfrak{p}$ . Moreover, we know that  $\mathfrak{p}_i \cap A$  is a prime ideal, and since  $\mathfrak{p}$  is maximal it must be  $\mathfrak{p}_i \cap A = \mathfrak{p}$ .  $\square$

(2) If  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  lies above  $\mathfrak{p}$ , then

$R/\mathfrak{p}_i$  is an extension of  $A/\mathfrak{p}$

proof: the ring extension  $A \hookrightarrow R$  induces an extension  $A/\mathfrak{p} \hookrightarrow R/\mathfrak{p}_i$ , since  $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_i$ .  $\square$

def: RAMIFICATION INDEX and INERTIA DEGREE

Let  $\mathfrak{p}R = \mathfrak{p}_1^{e_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r^{e_r}$ . Then we define the

RAMIFICATION INDEX:  $e_{\mathfrak{p}_i}(\mathfrak{p}) = e_i$

INERTIA DEGREE:  $f_{\mathfrak{p}_i}(\mathfrak{p}) = f_i = [R/\mathfrak{p}_i : A/\mathfrak{p}]$

def: PRIMES that RAMIFY

We say that a prime  $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq A$  RAMIFIES in  $R$  if  $\mathfrak{p}R = \mathfrak{p}_1^{e_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r^{e_r}$  and one of the  $e_i$  is  $\geq 2$ .

Prop: In the situation

$A =$  Dedekind domain

$F = \text{Frac } A$

$K =$  finite field extension of  $F$

$R =$  integral closure of  $A$  in  $K$

Let  $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq A$  be a nonzero prime and

$$\mathfrak{p}R = \mathfrak{p}_1^{e_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r^{e_r}$$

its unique factorization in  $R$ . Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^r e_i f_i = \sum_{i=1}^r e_i \mathfrak{p}_i(\mathfrak{p}) f_{\mathfrak{p}_i(\mathfrak{p})} = [K:F]$$

In particular, it is independent of  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

proof: first we observe that the inclusion

$A \hookrightarrow R$  induces an extension of rings

$A/\mathfrak{p} \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{p}R$ . Since  $A/\mathfrak{p}$  is a field

this means that  $R/\mathfrak{p}R$  is a  $A/\mathfrak{p}$ -vector space, of finite dimension, since we know that  $R$  is finitely generated as an  $A$ -module.

Then we claim that

$$\sum_{i=1}^r e_i f_i = \dim_{A/\mathfrak{p}} (R/\mathfrak{p}R) = [K:F]$$

We prove both equalities:

$\dim_{A/\mathfrak{p}} (R/\mathfrak{p}R) = [K:F]$  [Only when]

• Lemma 1.1.1  $[A = \mathbb{Z}]$

Let  $n = [K:F]$ . Then we know that  $R \cong A^{\oplus n}$  as an  $A$ -module. Then we get that

$$R/pR \cong A^{\oplus n}/p(A^{\oplus n}) \cong A^{\oplus n}/(pA)^{\oplus n} \cong (A/pA)^{\oplus n}, \text{ hence } \left( \begin{array}{l} \text{because} \\ A = \mathbb{Z} \end{array} \right)$$

$$\dim_{A/p} (R/pR) = n.$$

•  $\sum_{i=1}^r e_i f_i = \dim_{A/p} (R/pR)$

We see that

$$R/pR = R/p_1 e_1 \dots p_r e_r \cong R/p_1 e_1 \times \dots \times R/p_r e_r$$

Moreover, we observe that we have a ring extension  $A/p \hookrightarrow R/p_i e_i$ , since  $p \subseteq p_i e_i$  so that each  $R/p_i e_i$  is an  $A/p$ -vector space, and the above isomorphism is an isomorphism of  $A/p$ -vector spaces. Hence

$$\dim_{A/p} (R/pR) = \sum_{i=1}^r \dim_{A/p} (R/p_i e_i)$$

So it is enough to prove that  $\dim_{A/p} (R/p_i e_i) = e_i f_i$

To do so, observe that we have a chain of subspaces

$$\dots \subset 2 \dots \subset A \cdot e_i \subset \dots$$

$$R/\mathfrak{p}_i e_i \supseteq \mathfrak{p}_i/\mathfrak{p}_i e_i \supseteq \mathfrak{p}_i/\mathfrak{p}_i e_i \supseteq \dots \supseteq \mathfrak{p}_i/\mathfrak{p}_i e_i = 0$$

This chain has length  $e_i$ , and we see that

$$\left( \mathfrak{p}_i^j/\mathfrak{p}_i e_i \right) / \left( \mathfrak{p}_i^{j+1}/\mathfrak{p}_i e_i \right) \cong \mathfrak{p}_i^j/\mathfrak{p}_i^{j+1}$$

so it is enough to prove that  $\dim_{R/\mathfrak{p}_i} \left( \mathfrak{p}_i^j/\mathfrak{p}_i^{j+1} \right) = f_i$   
and by definition of  $f_i$ , it is enough to show that

$$\dim_{R/\mathfrak{p}_i} \left( \mathfrak{p}_i^0/\mathfrak{p}_i^1 \right) = 1$$

Observe that the  $(R/\mathfrak{p}_i)$ -subspaces of  $\mathfrak{p}_i^j/\mathfrak{p}_i^{j+1}$  correspond to the ideals in  $\mathfrak{p}_i^j$  which contain  $\mathfrak{p}_i^{j+1}$ . There are only two of these ideals:  $\mathfrak{p}_i^j$  and  $\mathfrak{p}_i^{j+1}$ , hence there are only two subspaces, which means

$$\dim_{R/\mathfrak{p}_i} \left( \mathfrak{p}_i^j/\mathfrak{p}_i^{j+1} \right) = 1. \quad \square$$

So this puts some restrictions on the possible values of  $e_i, f_i$ . If the field extension is Galois, we have even more restrictions:

Now, suppose that we are in the following situation:

$A =$  Dedekind domain (e.g.  $A = \mathbb{Z}$ )  
 $F =$  Frac  $A$  (e.g.  $F = \mathbb{Q}$ )  
 $K =$  finite field ext. of  $F$  (e.g.  $K =$  number field)  
 $R =$  integral closure of  $A$  in  $K$  (e.g.  $R = \mathcal{O}_K$ )

we assume that  $K/F$  is GALOIS, with Galois group  $G = \text{Gal}(K/F)$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq A$  be a prime ideal, and let  
 $\mathfrak{p}R = \mathfrak{p}_1^{e_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r^{e_r}$   
 be its unique factorization.

Observe that  $G = \text{Gal}(K/F)$  acts on the set  
 of prime ideals of  $R$  by setting  
 $\sigma \cdot \mathfrak{q} = \sigma(\mathfrak{q})$

Prop: In the above situation  $G$  acts transitively on the set of primes lying over  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

In particular, the ramification index and the inertia degree are the same for each  $\mathfrak{p}_i$ .

proof: first we show that  $G$  acts on the set

$\{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r\}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq R \subseteq F$ ,  $\forall \sigma \in G$  we have

$$pR = \sigma(p_1) \cdots \sigma(p_r)$$

and by the uniqueness of the factorization we must have that  $\sigma(p_i)$  is one of the  $p_1, \dots, p_r$ .

Now we show that the action is transitive.

Suppose that  $p_1, p_2$  are two distinct primes lying over  $p$ . We need to show that there is  $\sigma \in G$  s.t.  $\sigma(p_1) = p_2$ .

Suppose that  $\sigma(p_1) \neq p_2$  for each  $\sigma \in G$ . Then by the CRT there exists  $\alpha \in p_2$   $\alpha \notin \sigma(p_1)$ , (forall  $\sigma \in G$ ). Then consider

$$N_{K/F}(\alpha) = \prod_{\sigma \in G} \sigma(\alpha)$$

We have  $N_{K/F}(\alpha) \in R \cap p_2 = p$  so

$N_{K/F}(\alpha) \in p_1$  as well. Since  $p_1$  is prime, this means that  $\sigma(\alpha) \in p_1$  (for each  $\sigma$ , hence  $\alpha \in \sigma^{-1}(p_1)$ , contradiction.

For the last part, observe that if  $\sigma(p_1) = p_2$  then

$$\begin{aligned} pR &= p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} p_3^{e_3} \cdots p_r^{e_r} \\ pR &= \sigma(pR) \\ &= \sigma(p_1)^{e_1} \sigma(p_2)^{e_2} \cdots \sigma(p_r)^{e_r} \\ &= p_2^{e_1} \cdot \sigma(p_2)^{e_2} \cdots \sigma(p_r)^{e_r} \end{aligned}$$

and by uniqueness

$$e_1 = e_2$$

Furthermore,  $\sigma$  induces an isomorphism of  $A/\mathfrak{p}$ -modules

$$R/\mathfrak{p}_1 \cong R/\sigma(\mathfrak{p}_1) = R/\mathfrak{p}_2$$

Hence  $f_1 = \dim_{A/\mathfrak{p}} R/\mathfrak{p}_1 = \dim_{A/\mathfrak{p}} R/\mathfrak{p}_2 = f_2$ .  $\square$

## COMPUTING FACTORIZATIONS

We are in the usual situation

$A =$  Dedekind domain

$F = \text{Frac } A$

$K =$  finite field extension of  $F$

$R =$  integral closure of  $A$  in  $K$

Example

$A = \mathbb{Z}$

$F = \mathbb{Q}$

$K =$  number field

$R = \mathcal{O}_K$

In this situation we have the following

Prop: Suppose  $R$  is generated by a single element:

$R = A[X]$  and let  $m_\alpha(X) \in A[X]$  be the

minimal polynomial. Let  $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq A$  be a <sup>non-zero</sup> prime ideal

and let  $\bar{m}_\alpha(X) = \bar{g}_1(X)^{e_1} \cdots \bar{g}_r(X)^{e_r}$

be the unique factorization of  $\bar{m}_\alpha(X)$  in  $A/\mathfrak{p}A[X]$

for certain  $g_1(X), \dots, g_r(X) \in A[X]$ . Then

(1) the  $\mathfrak{p}_i = \mathfrak{p}R + (g_i(\alpha))$  are prime ideals in  $R$ .

(2) the prime factorization of  $\mathfrak{p}R$  is

$$\mathfrak{p}R = \mathfrak{p}_1^{e_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r^{e_r}$$



$$\mathfrak{p}R = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r$$

(3) the ramification indexes and the inertia degrees are

$$e_{\mathfrak{p}_i}(\mathfrak{p}) = e_i$$

$$f_{\mathfrak{p}_i}(\mathfrak{p}) = \text{degree } g_i(x)$$

proof: (1) We have  $R \cong A[\alpha] \cong A[X]/(m_\alpha(x))$   
hence

$$R/\mathfrak{p}_i \cong A[X]/(\mathfrak{p}, g_i(x), m_\alpha(x))$$

$$\cong (A/\mathfrak{p})[X]/(\bar{g}_i(x), \bar{m}_\alpha(x))$$

$$\cong (A/\mathfrak{p})[X]/(\bar{g}_i(x)) \quad \text{which is a field, since } A/\mathfrak{p} \text{ is a field and } \bar{g}_i(x) \text{ is irreducible}$$

(2) We see that

$$R/\mathfrak{p}R \cong A[X]/(\mathfrak{p}, m_\alpha(x)) \cong (A/\mathfrak{p})[X]/(\bar{m}_\alpha(x))$$

$$\cong (A/\mathfrak{p})[X]/(\bar{g}_1(x)^{e_1} \cdots \bar{g}_r(x)^{e_r})$$

The prime ideals in this last ring are exactly those generated by  $(\bar{g}_1(x)), \dots, (\bar{g}_r(x))$ , hence the prime ideals in  $R/\mathfrak{p}R$  are exactly those generated by  $(g_1(\alpha)), \dots, (g_r(\alpha))$ . So, the prime ideals in  $R$  which contain  $\mathfrak{p}R$  are precisely the  $\mathfrak{p}_i = (g_i(\alpha), \mathfrak{p})$ . Hence

$\mathfrak{p}R = \mathfrak{p}_1^{a_1} \dots \mathfrak{p}_r^{a_r}$  for certain  $a_i > 0$ .

We also know that

$$R/\mathfrak{p}R \cong R/\mathfrak{p}_1^{e_1} \dots \mathfrak{p}_r^{e_r}$$

and then we conclude thanks to the following Lemma

Lemma:  $R = \text{Dedekind domain}$ ,  $\mathfrak{I} = \mathfrak{p}_1^{a_1} \dots \mathfrak{p}_r^{a_r}$   
 unique factorization of a nonzero ideal  $\mathfrak{I}$ , and suppose

$$R/\mathfrak{I} \cong R/\mathfrak{p}_1^{e_1} \dots \mathfrak{p}_r^{e_r}$$

then  $a_i = e_i$

proof: we look at the localization:

$$(R/\mathfrak{I})_{\mathfrak{p}_i/I} \cong R_{\mathfrak{p}_i}/\mathfrak{I}_{\mathfrak{p}_i} \cong R_{\mathfrak{p}_i}/\mathfrak{p}_i^{a_i}$$

$$(R/\mathfrak{p}_1^{e_1} \dots \mathfrak{p}_r^{e_r})_{\mathfrak{p}_i/\mathfrak{p}_1^{e_1} \dots \mathfrak{p}_r^{e_r}} \cong R_{\mathfrak{p}_i}/\mathfrak{p}_i^{e_i}$$

Hence  $R_{\mathfrak{p}_i}/(\mathfrak{p}_i)^{a_i} \cong R_{\mathfrak{p}_i}/(\mathfrak{p}_i)^{e_i}$ . Now, since they are isomorphic, they have the same number of ideals and since  $R_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$  is a DVR, the ideals on the left hand side are

$$R_{\mathfrak{p}_i}/(\mathfrak{p}_i)^{a_i} \supseteq \mathfrak{p}_i R_{\mathfrak{p}_i}/\mathfrak{p}_i^{a_i} \supseteq \dots \supseteq \mathfrak{p}_i^{a_i} R_{\mathfrak{p}_i}/\mathfrak{p}_i^{a_i}$$

so there are  $a_i + 1$  of them. The same reasoning shows that on the right hand side there are  $e_i + 1$

so  $a_i = e_i$ .  $\square$

$\dots \quad \mathfrak{p}_1 \quad \mathfrak{p}_r \quad \dots \quad \mathfrak{p}_1 \quad \mathfrak{p}_r \quad \dots$

(3) Since  $\mathfrak{p}R = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r$ , it is clear that

$$e_{\mathfrak{p}_i}(\mathfrak{p}) = e_i$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\mathfrak{p}_i}(\mathfrak{p}) &= f_i = \dim_{A/\mathfrak{p}} R/\mathfrak{p}_i = \\ &= \dim_{A/\mathfrak{p}} (A/\mathfrak{p})[X]/(\overline{g}_i(X)) \\ &= \text{degree } \overline{g}_i(X). \end{aligned}$$

□

Example: Factorization of 2 in quadratic extensions

We look at the factorization of (2) in ring of integers of the form  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})}$ ,  $d$  sqfr.

•  $d \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}$ :  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})} \cong \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{d}]$

Minimal polynomial  $m(x) = x^2 - d$

We look at the factorization in  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}[X] = \mathbb{F}_2[X]$ :

•  $d \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ :  $x^2 - d \equiv x^2$  in  $\mathbb{F}_2[X]$ , hence

$$2\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})} = (2, \sqrt{d})^2$$

$e_{(2, \sqrt{d})}(2) = 2$       So, 2 ramifies in

$f_{(2, \sqrt{d})}(2) = 1$        $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})}$

•  $d \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ :  $x^2 - d \equiv x^2 - 1 \equiv (x+1)^2$

$$2\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})} = (2, \sqrt{d+1})$$

$$e(2, \sqrt{d+1})(2) = 2 \quad \begin{array}{l} 2 \text{ ramifies in} \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})} \end{array}$$

$$f(2, \sqrt{d+1})(2) = 1$$

$$\bullet d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}: \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})} \cong \mathbb{Z}[\alpha], \alpha = \frac{1+\sqrt{d}}{2}$$

$$\text{minimal polynomial } m(x) = x^2 - x + \frac{1-d}{4}$$

We look at the factorization in  $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$

$$- d \equiv 1 \pmod{8}: x^2 - x + \frac{1-d}{4} \equiv x^2 - x = (x-1)x$$

$$2\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})} = (2, \alpha) \cdot (2, \alpha-1)$$

$$e(2, \alpha)(2) = 1 \quad e(2, \alpha-1)(2) = 1$$

$$f(2, \alpha)(2) = 1 \quad f(2, \alpha-1)(2) = 1$$

Moreover, we expect that the Galois group  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})/\mathbb{Q}) = \langle 1, \sigma \rangle$  acts transitively on  $\{(2, \alpha), (2, \alpha-1)\}$ . Indeed, if  $\sigma \in G$  is the element such that

$$\sigma(\sqrt{d}) = -\sqrt{d}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\alpha) &= \sigma\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{d}}{2}\right) = \left(\frac{1-\sqrt{d}}{2}\right) \\ &= 1-\alpha \end{aligned}$$

Hence:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(2, \alpha) &= (\sigma(2), \sigma(\alpha)) = (2, 1-\alpha) \\ &= (2, \alpha-1) \end{aligned}$$

-  $d \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ :  $x^2 - x + \frac{1-d}{4} \equiv x^2 + x + 1 \pmod{2}$  in  $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$   
and this is irreducible. Hence

$(2) = (2, d^2 + d + 1)$   
so  $(2)$  is doubly prime, and

$$e_{(2)}(2) = 1.$$

$$f_{(2)}(2) = 2.$$