

Tillmann Berg

berg@mathematik.hu-berlin.de
Berlin, den 15. März 2011



Almost Complex Manifolds

The following text is a transcript of an one hour talk on the fundamentals of *almost complex manifolds*. I gave the talk in March 2011 in the Seminar of the Integrated Research Training Group of the SFB Raum-Zeit-Materie in Berlin. The intended audience are Ph. D. students of mathematics and physics. It is not the aim of this script to give a detailed description of almost complex manifolds but to explain the main ideas and to describe the connection to the differential calculus on complex manifolds.

Notation

In the following the term *differentiable* always means real differentiable, while *holomorphic* refers to complex differentiability. A *complex vector bundle* is a vector bundle over a differentiable manifold with differentiable transition matrices but complex vector spaces as fibers. On the other hand a *holomorphic vector bundle* is a complex vector bundle over a complex manifold with holomorphic transition matrices.

For clarity the differentiable tangent bundle of a differentiable or complex manifold X will be denoted by $T_{\mathbb{R}}X$, while TX denotes the holomorphic tangent bundle of a complex manifold X .

Almost Complex Manifolds

Definition. An *almost complex structure* on a differentiable manifold X is a differentiable endomorphism on the tangent bundle

$$I: T_{\mathbb{R}}X \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{R}}X \quad \text{with} \quad I^2 = -\text{id} .$$

A differentiable manifold with some fixed almost complex structure is called an *almost complex manifold*.

Almost complex manifolds must be even dimensional.

Definition. The *complexified tangent bundle* is the complexification of the tangent bundle of X :

$$T_{\mathbb{C}}X := T_{\mathbb{R}}X \otimes \mathbb{C} .$$

Use the unique \mathbb{C} -linear extension $I(v \otimes z) := I(v) \otimes z$ to define the *holomorphic* and *antiholomorphic tangent bundle* of X as the eigenspaces of $+i$ and $-i$,

$$T^{1,0}X := \ker(I - i) \quad \text{and} \quad T^{0,1}X := \ker(I + i) .$$

Tillmann Berg

berg@mathematik.hu-berlin.de

Note, that X is in general only a differentiable manifold and thus there is no concept of *holomorphy*: The holomorphic tangent bundle $T^{1,0}X$ can not be a holomorphic vector bundle on a differentiable manifold. If X is complex, however, one has that $T^{1,0}X = TX$ is indeed the holomorphic tangent bundle of X .

Example. Let X be a *complex manifold* with holomorphic local coordinates $z^i = x^i + y^i i$. Then

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \mapsto \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \mapsto -\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$$

defines a (coordinate-independent) almost complex structure on the manifold X viewed as a differentiable manifold. With $\partial/\partial z^i := \frac{1}{2}(\partial/\partial x^i - i\partial/\partial y^i)$ and $\partial/\partial \bar{z}^i := \frac{1}{2}(\partial/\partial x^i + i\partial/\partial y^i)$ one has locally

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\mathbb{R}}X &= \mathbb{R}\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}\right\}, & T_{\mathbb{C}}X &= \mathbb{C}\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}\right\} = \mathbb{C}\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial z^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^i}\right\} \quad \text{and} \\ T^{0,1}X &= \mathbb{C}\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^i}\right\}, & T^{1,0}X &= \mathbb{C}\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial z^i}\right\} = TX. \end{aligned}$$

Definition. Denote the dual bundles of the defined tangent spaces by

$$\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}^k X := \Lambda^k(T_{\mathbb{C}}X)^* \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda^{p,q} X := \Lambda^p(T^{1,0}X)^* \otimes \Lambda^q(T^{0,1}X)^*.$$

Let \mathcal{A}_X^k and $\mathcal{A}_X^{p,q}$ denote the corresponding sheafs of *differential forms of type k and (p, q)* , respectively. This means for each open $U \subset X$ that $\mathcal{A}_X^{p,q}(U)$ is the set of differentiable sections of the bundle $\Lambda^{p,q} X$.

The holomorphic and the antiholomorphic tangent bundle are subbundles of the complexified tangent bundle and the inclusion

$$T^{1,0}X \oplus T^{0,1}X \hookrightarrow T_{\mathbb{C}}X \quad \text{has the inverse } v \mapsto \frac{1}{2}(v - iIv) \oplus (v + iIv).$$

Thus, one has the decomposition

$$T_{\mathbb{C}}X = T^{1,0}X \oplus T^{0,1}X,$$

which induces the decompositions

$$\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}^k X = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} \Lambda^{p,q} X \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{A}_X^k = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} \mathcal{A}_X^{p,q}.$$

Definition. Let $d: \mathcal{A}_X^k \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_X^{k+1}$ denote the \mathbb{C} -linear extension of the exterior derivative of differential forms. With the projections $\pi^{p,q}: \mathcal{A}_X^* \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_X^{p,q}$ define the *Dolbeault operators* as

$$\partial := \pi^{p+1,q} \circ d: \mathcal{A}_X^{p,q} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_X^{p+1,q} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\partial} := \pi^{p,q+1} \circ d: \mathcal{A}_X^{p,q} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_X^{p,q+1}.$$

The Dolbeault operators are \mathbb{C} -linear and satisfy the Leibniz equation $\partial(\alpha \wedge \beta) = \partial\alpha \wedge \beta + (-1)^{\deg \alpha} \alpha \wedge \partial\beta$.

Smooth Holomorphic Functions

A first application of the Dolbeault calculus is a complex version of the submersion theorem.

Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a holomorphic map between complex manifolds.

Remark. The Dolbeault operators are natural, which means the pullback f^* preserves the type of differential forms and commutes with the Dolbeault operators:

$$f^*: \mathcal{A}_Y^{p,q} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_X^{p,q} \quad \text{and} \quad \partial \circ f^* = f^* \circ \partial, \quad \bar{\partial} \circ f^* = f^* \circ \bar{\partial}.$$

(The latter follows from $d \circ f^* = f^* \circ d$ and the holomorphy of f is equivalent to type preservation of f^* .)

It follows from $T^{1,0}X = TX$ that $\Lambda^{p,0}X = \Lambda^p(TX)^*$. However, the corresponding sheafs of differential forms $\mathcal{A}_X^{p,0}$ and Ω^p are not equal, since the differential forms in $\Omega^p(U)$ are defined to be holomorphic. But the differential forms in $\mathcal{A}_X^{p,0}(U)$ are only differentiable, thus $\Omega^p(U) \subset \mathcal{A}_X^{p,0}(U)$.

Lemma. $\Omega^p(X) = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_X^{p,0}(X) \mid \bar{\partial}\alpha = 0\}$

Proof. The claim is purely local and one can assume that $\alpha = f dz^I$, where z^i are local holomorphic coordinates and I a multiindex. One can show that

$$\bar{\partial}(f dz^I) = \sum \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}^i} d\bar{z}^i \wedge dz^I.$$

Thus, $\bar{\partial}\alpha = 0$ if and only if all $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}^i}$ vanish, which is equivalent to f and thus α being holomorphic. \square

This Lemma and the naturality of the Dolbeault operator $\bar{\partial}$ yields that $\alpha \in \Omega^1(Y)$ implies $f^*\alpha \in \Omega^1(X)$. The pullback induces a map $f_*: T_x X \rightarrow T_{f(x)} Y$.

Definition. A holomorphic map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called *smooth in* $x \in X$ if the map $f_*: T_x X \rightarrow T_{f(x)} Y$ is surjective.

Theorem. If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is smooth in all points in $f^{-1}(y)$ for some $y \in Y$, then $f^{-1}(y)$ is a complex submanifold of X .

Integrability and Cohomology

The complex structure of every complex manifold X induces an almost complex structure on X . However, the converse is not true.

Definition. An almost complex manifold is called *integrable*, if it is induced by a complex structure.

Theorem (Newlander-Nirenberg).

The following statements are equivalent:

- (1) The almost complex manifold X is integrable.
- (2) The almost complex structure of X is induced by a complex structure.
- (3) $[T^{0,1}X, T^{0,1}X] \subset T^{0,1}X$
- (4) $\bar{\partial}^2 = 0$

Remark. Statement 3 is similar to the Theorem of Frobenius, which states that a (vector bundle) distribution is integrable, if it is invariant under the Lie bracket.

Assertion 4 states that the Dolbeault operator $\bar{\partial}$ forms a *complex* and therefore defines a *cohomology*.

Definition. The (p, q) -th Dolbeault cohomology $H^{p,q}(X)$ is defined as

$$H^{p,q}(X) := H^q(\mathcal{A}_X^{p,\cdot}(X), \bar{\partial}) := \frac{\ker(\bar{\partial}: \mathcal{A}_X^{p,q}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_X^{p,q+1}(X))}{\text{im}(\bar{\partial}: \mathcal{A}_X^{p,q-1}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_X^{p,q}(X))}.$$

It has already been shown that the zeroth sheaf cohomology of Ω^p is given by the zeroth Dolbeault cohomology, $H^0(X, \Omega^p) = \Omega^p(X) = \ker(\bar{\partial}: \mathcal{A}_X^{p,0}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_X^{p,1}(X))$. More generally every sheaf cohomology of Ω^p is given by the corresponding Dolbeault cohomology:

Lemma. $H^{p,q}(X) \cong H^q(X, \Omega^p)$

Vector Bundles

The Dolbeault operator and cohomology can be generalised to holomorphic vector bundles as follows. Let $E \rightarrow X$ denote a complex vector bundle and set

$$\mathcal{A}^{p,q}(E) := \Gamma(X, \Lambda^{p,q} X \otimes E).$$

One could be tempted to define an exterior derivative on $\mathcal{A}^{p,q}(E)$ by setting locally

$$d(\alpha \otimes s) := d\alpha \otimes s \quad \text{for some } \alpha \in \mathcal{A}_X^{p,q}(X) \text{ and } s \in \Gamma(X, E).$$

But one has for any differentiable, nowhere vanishing function h that $\alpha \otimes s = (\alpha \cdot h) \otimes (s/h)$ and thus d is not well-defined. Note, however, that $\bar{\partial}h = 0$ if h would be holomorphic. Thus, the following

Definition. For a holomorphic vector bundle $E \rightarrow X$ over a complex manifold one can define a \mathbb{C} -linear *Dolbeault operator* $\bar{\partial}_E: \mathcal{A}^{p,q}(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{p,q+1}(E)$ by setting

$$\bar{\partial}_E(\alpha \otimes s) := \bar{\partial}\alpha \otimes s \quad \text{for } \alpha \in \mathcal{A}_X^{p,q}(X) \text{ and } s \text{ a holomorphic section of } E.$$

$\bar{\partial}_E$ satisfies the Leibniz equation and, since $\bar{\partial}$ forms a complex on complex manifolds, so does $\bar{\partial}_E$. One defines the *Dolbeault cohomology* as before and obtains:

Theorem.
$$H^{p,q} := H^q(\mathcal{A}^{p,\cdot}(E), \bar{\partial}_E) \cong H^q(X, \Omega^p \otimes E)$$

Bibliography

- [Huy05] Daniel Huybrechts. *Complex Geometry – An Introduction*. Springer, Berlin; 2005.
- [RS03] Claire Voisin. *Hodge Theory and Complex Algebraic Geometry I*. Cambridge University Press; 2002.