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## 1 Elliptic Curves, the Finiteness Theorem of SHAFAREVIČ

### 1.1 Elliptic Curves over $\mathbb{C}$

Instead of the introduction we remember to an arithmetic-geometric part of the theory of elliptic curves. Let $\wedge$ be a lattice in $\mathbb{C}$, that means a discrete additive subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z})$-rank 2. Two lattices $\wedge$ and $\wedge^{\prime}$ in $\mathbb{C}$ are said to be equivalent, if there is a complex number $\alpha \neq 0$ such that $\Lambda^{\prime}=\alpha \wedge$. Each of our lattices is equivalent to a lattice $\wedge_{\tau}=$ $\mathbb{Z}+\mathbb{Z} \tau$ with

$$
\tau \in \mathbb{H}=\{z \in \mathbb{C} ; \operatorname{Im} z>0\}
$$

IH is called the POINCARÉ upper half plane. The quotient spaces

$$
E_{\wedge}=\mathbb{C} / \wedge, \quad E_{\tau}=\mathbb{C} / \wedge_{\tau}
$$

are one-dimensional complex tori, that means complete RIEMANN surfaces with abelian group structures. For equivalent lattices $\wedge, \Lambda^{\prime}$ we have a commutative diagram

with obvious notations. The tori $E, E^{\prime}$ are isomorphic. So each $E=E_{\wedge}$ is isomorphic to a complex torus $E_{\tau}$ for a suitable $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$.

Each torus $E$ has a smooth complex projective algebraic structure. More precisely, it can be analytically embedded into the complex projective plane $\mathbb{P}^{2}(\mathbb{C})$. A torus together with such an embedding is called an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{C}$ ). For the embeddings we need elliptic functions on $\mathbb{C}$. A meromorphic function on $\mathbb{C}$ is called elliptic, if it is $\wedge$-periodic for a suitable $\mathbb{C}$-lattice $\wedge$.

A central role among the elliptic functions play the WEIERSTRASS $\wp$-functions. For a fixed lattice $\wedge$ it is defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\wp_{\wedge} & : \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C}), \\
\wp_{\wedge}(z)=1 / z^{2} & +\sum_{\omega \in \wedge^{*}}\left(1 /(z-\omega)^{2}-1 / \omega^{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\wedge^{*}=\Lambda \backslash 0$. The field of meromorphic function of $E_{\wedge}$ is generated by $\wp_{\wedge}$ and $\wp_{\wedge}^{\prime}$. Both functions are related by a simple algebraic equation producing a differential equation for $\wp_{\wedge}$ :

$$
\wp_{\wedge}^{\prime}(z)^{2}=4 \wp_{\wedge}(z)^{3}-g_{2}(\wedge) \wp_{\wedge}(z)-g_{3}(\wedge)
$$

where

$$
g_{2}(\wedge)=60 \sum_{\omega \in \wedge^{*}} 1 / \omega^{4}, \quad g_{3}(\wedge)=140 \sum_{\omega \in \wedge^{*}} 1 / \omega^{b} .
$$

On this way we get a projective embedding

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
h: \mathbb{C} / \wedge & \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}(\mathbb{C}) \\
z \bmod \wedge & \longmapsto\left(1: \wp(z): \wp^{\prime}(z)\right) \quad(z \notin \wedge)
\end{array}
$$

Using projective coordinates $(w: x: y)$ the image curve $=E(\wedge)$ is defined by the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E: W Y^{2}=4 X^{3}-g_{2}(\wedge) W^{2} X-g_{3}(\wedge) W^{3} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely, if $E$ is a smooth projective curve of degree 3 , then there is a projectively equivalent curve $E^{\prime}$ of equation type

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{\prime}: W Y^{2}=4 X^{3}-g_{2} W^{2} X-g_{3} W^{3} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation in (1.2) or the corresponding cubic form is called a WEIERSTRASS normal form of $E$. Moreover, there is a $\mathbb{C}$-lattice $\wedge$ such that $g_{2}=g_{2}(\wedge), g_{3}=g_{3}(\wedge)$. So we get in any case a uniformization $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} / \wedge \xrightarrow{\sim} E$.

We want to introduce and to explain now the moduli space of elliptic curves.
POINCARE's upper half plane $I H$ is the simplest non-euclidean model of a homogeneous (symmetric) space. On $\mathbb{H}$ acts transitively the real special linear group $\$ l(2, \mathbb{R})$ via fractional linear transformations

$$
\tau \mapsto(a \tau+b) /(c \tau+d), \quad \tau \in \mathbb{H}, \quad\left(\begin{array}{c}
a \\
c \\
c d
\end{array}\right) \in \mathbb{S l}(2, \mathbb{R}) .
$$

The quotient space $\$ l(2, \mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathbb{I H}$ has a natural complex structure. It is isomorphic to the affine complex line $\mathbf{A}^{1}(\mathbb{C})=\mathbb{C}$. Its natural (smooth) compactification is the projective complex line $\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})$.

This can be made visible by decomposing $\mathbb{H}$ into infinitely many $\$ l(2, \mathbb{Z})$-fundamental domains as it has been first done by GAUSS. The elements $S=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$ and $T=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ generate the unimodular group $\$ l(2, \mathbb{Z})$. There is a nice central fundamental domain $\mathcal{F}$ as drawn in the figure (1.3). By identification of equivalent boundary points one gets $\mathbf{A}^{1}(\mathbb{C})$ and the compactification by addition of the external boundary point not lying in $\mathbb{I H}$. Shifting $\mathcal{F}$ by means of products of $S, T, S^{-1}, T^{-1}$ one obtains a covering of $\mathbb{I H}$ consisting of $\$ l_{2}(2, \mathbb{Z})$-fundamental domains.


The geometric imagination can be made precise by means of modular functions. These are $\mathbb{S l}(2, \mathbb{Z})$-invariant meromorphic functions on $\mathbb{H}$ allowing a meromorphic extension on $\mathbb{\$ l}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathbb{H}$ to the compactification $\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})$. For $i=2,3$ we set $g_{i}(\tau)=g_{i}\left(\wedge_{\tau}\right)$. Looking at the discriminant of the polynomial $p_{3}(X)$ in the WEIERSTRASS equation $Y^{2}=p_{3}(X)=4 X^{3}-g_{2} X-g_{3}$ of $E_{\tau}$ we define

$$
\Delta(\tau)=27 g_{3}^{2}(\tau)-g_{2}^{3}(\tau)
$$

Then $g_{2}^{3}(\tau) / \Delta(\tau)$ is a modular function. The elliptic modular function is defined as $j(\tau)=12^{3} g_{2}^{3}(\tau) / \Delta(\tau)$. Especially it is invariant under $S: \tau \mapsto \tau+1$. It can be written as Fourier series:

$$
j(\tau)=q^{-1}+744 q^{0}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} q^{n}, \quad q=e^{2 \pi i \tau}, \quad a_{n} \in \mathbb{Z} .
$$

The elliptic modular function $j: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ goes down to an analytic isomorphism $\$ l(2, \mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathbb{I H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Consider now the elliptic curve family $\mathcal{E}$ over H defined by

$$
\left.\mathcal{E}=\{(w: x: y), \tau) \in \mathbb{P}^{2}(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{H} ; \quad w y^{2}=4 x^{3}-g_{2}(\tau) w^{2} x-g_{3}(\tau) w^{3}\right\} .
$$

It has a natural projection onto IH . The fibres are the elliptic curves $E_{\tau}$. The upper half plane IIH appears as parameter space for (up to isomorphy) all elliptic curves. This analytic family of curves is denoted by $\mathcal{E} / \mathbb{H}$. The fibres $E_{\tau}, E_{\tau^{\prime}}$, are isomorphic iff $\tau^{\prime} \in \$ l(2, \mathbb{Z}) \tau$. Therefore we get a bijection

$$
\mathbb{C}=\$ l(2, \mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathbb{H} \Longleftrightarrow \quad\{\text { isomorphy classes of elliptic curves }\}
$$

In this (rough) sense we say that $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ is the (compactified) moduli space of elliptic curves. Altogether we have a commutative diagram (1.4) for each $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$.


### 1.2 Elliptic Curves Over Arbitrary Fields

We use the following notations:

| $K$ | a field, $L$ a field extension of $K$, |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\bar{K}$ | the algebraic closure of $K$, |
| $\mathbb{P}_{K}^{2}$ | the projective plane over $K$, |
| $\mathbb{P}^{2}(L)$ | the points of this plane with coordinates in $L$, |
| $f$ | a homogeneous polynomial in $K[W, X, Y]$, |
| $\mathbb{P} \mathbb{G} l(3, K)$ | the projective linear group $\mathbb{G} l(3, K) / K^{*}$, |
| $C: f=0$ | the plane projective curve defined by $f$, |
| $C(L)$ | the points of $C$ with coordinates in $L$ (L-points). |

The group $\mathbb{P} \mathbb{G} l(3, L)$ acts on $\mathbb{P}^{2}(L)$ and $\mathbb{G} l(3, L)$ on $L[W, X, Y]$ in obvious manner. For $G \in \mathbb{G l}(3, L)$ we define the inverse image curve of $C$ by $G^{*} C: G^{*} f=0$, where $G^{*} f$ denotes the inverse image of $f$. We have

$$
G^{*} C(L)=\left\{P \in \mathbb{P}^{2}(L) ; G^{*} f(P)=f(G(P))=0\right\}
$$

Two curves $C, C^{\prime}$ are called L-linearly equivalent, if there is a linear transformation $G \in$ $\mathbb{G} l(3, L)$ such that $C^{\prime}=G^{*} C$.

A point $P \in C(L)$ is called singular iff the derived polynomials $\partial f / \partial W, \partial f / \partial X, \partial f / \partial Y$ vanish at $P$. The curve $C$ is non-singular iff each point $P \in C(\bar{K})$ is non-singular.

Definition 1.1 An elliptic curve $E / K$ is a non-singular curve of degree 3 in $\mathbb{P}_{K}^{2}$ together with a point $0 \in E(K)$.

We are able to define a commutative group structure on $E / K$. For this purpose consider the $L$-points of $E$. Denote by $P Q$ the line through two points $P, Q \in E(L)$. If $P=Q$, then it is defined as tangent line of $E$ through $P$. By BEZOUT's, theorem there is a unique third intersection point $R^{\prime} \in \mathbb{P}^{2}(L)$ of $E(\bar{L})$ and $P Q(\bar{L})$ beside of $P, Q$. It is easy to see that it belongs to $E(L)$. We apply the same procedure to $O R^{\prime}$ instead of $P Q$ in order to receive a third intersection point $R$. Now define $P+Q=R$. Then one gets a commutative group law on $E(L), L$ an arbitrary field extension of $K$ (see [41]). The auxiliary point $R^{\prime}$ is nothing else than $-(P+Q)$ and $O$ is the neutral element of our addition with figure (1.4).


From projective (homogeneous) equations $f=0$ we change over to affine (inhomogeneous) equations $F=0, F(X, Y)=f(1, X, Y)$. It defines an affine curve in $\mathbf{A}_{K}^{2}$ and an affine geometric curve in $\mathbf{A}^{2}(L)$ as algebraic set of points. Adding some points at infinity ( $W=0$ ) we get back $C(L)$, especially $C(\bar{L})$, hence $C: f=0, f(W, X, Y)=F(X / W, Y / W) W^{\operatorname{deg} F}$. In our elliptic cases we keep the distinction between affine and projective equations/curves only in mind.

Two elliptic curves $E / K, E^{\prime} / K$ are $K$-(linearly) isomorphic, iff there exists an element $\alpha \in \mathbb{G l}(3, K)$ such that $E=\alpha^{*} E^{\prime}$ and $\alpha(O)=O^{\prime}, O^{\prime}$ the zero point of $E^{\prime}$.

Each elliptic curve $E / K$ is $K$-isomorphic to an elliptic curve of type

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{\prime} / K: Y^{2}+a_{1} X Y+a_{3} Y=X^{3}+a_{2} X^{2}+a_{4} X+a_{6} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $0^{\prime}=(0: 0: 1)$, the point at infinity of $E^{\prime}$.

If char $K \neq 2,3$, then the above statement remains to be true, if we set $a_{i}=0$ for $i=1,2,3$, that means we substitute (1.5) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{\prime} / K: Y^{2}=4 X^{3}-g_{2} X-g_{3} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equations or curves in (1.5) or (1.6) are called WEIERSTRASS normal forms (of E). Up to isomorphy it suffices to investigate elliptic curves given in WEIERSTRASS normal form. So we assume now that:
(i) char $K$ ) $\neq 2,3$;
(ii) $E / K: Y^{2}=4 X^{3}-g_{2} X-g_{3}$
(iii) $O=(0: 0: 1)$;
the same for $E^{\prime} / K$.
As in the classical (complex) case we look for invariants and their meaning. We set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(E / K)=27 g_{3}^{2}-g_{2}^{3}, \quad j(E / K)=12^{3} g_{2}^{3} / \Delta(E / K) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given a plane projective curve $C / K: f=0$. We also write $C_{L}, C_{L} / L$ or simply $C / L$ for the curve in $\mathbb{P}_{L}^{2}$ defined by $f=0$. With obvious notations and the assumptions (i), (ii), (iii) above the following basic facts are well-known:

## Proposition 1.2

(i) $E / K$ is non-singular, hence an elliptic curve, iff $\Delta(E / K) \neq 0$.
(ii) Let $E^{\prime} / L$ be another elliptic curve, $\bar{L}=\bar{K}$. Then $E / \bar{K}$ and $E^{\prime} / \bar{K}$ are $\bar{K}$-isomorphic if an only if $j(E / K)=j\left(E^{\prime} / L\right)$ in $\bar{K}$.
(iii) The elliptic curves $E / K$ and $E^{\prime} / K$ are $\bar{K}$-isomorphic iff there exists an element $u \in \sqrt{K^{\times}}=\left\{v \in \bar{K} ; v^{2} \in K^{\times}\right\}$such that $g_{2}^{\prime}=u^{4} g_{2}, g_{3}^{\prime}=u^{6} g_{3}$.
(iv) The elliptic curves $E / K$ and $E^{\prime} / K$ are $K$-isomorphic iff there exists $u \in K^{\times}$such that $g_{2}^{\prime}=u^{4} g, g_{3}^{\prime}=u^{6} g_{3}$.

### 1.2.1 Reduction of Elliptic Curves

Let $R \subseteq K$ be an integral domain (with 1 ), such that $K=$ Quot $R$, the quotient field of $R$. We write $E / R$ instead of $E / K$, if the coefficients of the defining equation belong to $R$, and we say that $E$ is defined over $R$. An $R$-model of the elliptic curve $E^{\prime} / K$ is an
elliptic curve $E / R$ such that $E / K$ is $K$-isomorphic to $E^{\prime} / K$. It is easy to see that each elliptic curve $E^{\prime} / K$ has at least one $R$-model. In fact, there are a lot of them.

Now, let $(R, \mathcal{M})$ be a local ring, $\mathcal{M}$ the maximal ideal of $R$ and $k=R / \mathcal{M}$ the residue field. We write $\bar{g}$ for the residue class of $g \in R$ modulo $\mathcal{M}$. For an elliptic curve $E / R: Y^{2}=X^{3}-g_{2} X-g_{3}$ we define the reduction $E_{k}$ of $E / R$ by

$$
E_{k} / k: Y^{2}=X^{3}-\bar{g}_{2} X-\bar{g}_{3} .
$$

We say that $E / R$ has good reduction, if $E_{k}$ is smooth, that means that $E_{k}$ is an elliptic curve over $k$. There is a nice simple criterion:

Lemma 1.3 (local criterion for good reduction) The elliptic curve $E / R$ has good reduction if and only if its discriminant $\Delta(E / R)$ is a unit in the local ring $R$.

Now let $R$ be a DEDEKIND domain with quotient field $K=$ Quot $R, \mathcal{P} \in \operatorname{Spec} R$ a prime ideal and $R_{\mathcal{P}}$ the corresponding (local) quotient ring. We say that the elliptic curve $E^{\prime} / K$ has good reduction at $\mathcal{P}$, if there is an $R_{\mathcal{P}}$-model $E / R_{\mathcal{P}}$ of $E^{\prime}$ with good reduction. Otherwise we say that $E^{\prime} / K$ has bad reduction at $P$. In any case $E^{\prime} / K$ has good reduction at almost all points of Spec $R$. If $T$ is a subset of Spec $R$, then we say that $E^{\prime} / K$ has good reduction on $T$, if $E^{\prime} / K$ has good reduction at all points of $T$. In obvious manner one explains the meaning of: bad reduction outside $T$, bad reduction on $S \subset S p e c R$, good reduction outside $S$.

In our applications we will work with the ring $R=\mathcal{O}$ of integers of a number field $K$. Fixing these notations we notice

### 1.2.2 Two Finiteness Theorems of Number Theory

Denote by $I=I(\mathcal{O})$ the semigroup of integral ideals of $\mathcal{O}$, the group of fractional ideals of $K$ by $I^{*}=I^{*}(\mathcal{O})=I^{*}(K)$ and by $H^{*}=H^{*}(K)$ its subgroup of principal ideals. The group $C l(K)=I^{*} / H^{*}$ is called the class group of $K$.

Theorem 1.4 (Finiteness of class group) The class group $C l(K)$ has finite order.

The order $h(K)=\sharp C l(K)$ is called the class number of $K$.

For a subset $S \subseteq \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}$ the ring of $S$-integers of $K$ is defined by

$$
\mathcal{O}_{S}=\{a / b ; a, b \in \mathcal{O}, b \notin \mathcal{P} \text { for all } \mathcal{P} \in T=\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O} \backslash S\}
$$

Take care of the difference between the local ring

$$
\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}}=\{a / b ; a, b \in \mathcal{O}, b \notin \mathcal{P}\}
$$

and the global ring $\mathcal{O}_{\{\mathcal{P}\}}$.

Corollary 1.5 For each finite $S^{\prime} \subset \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}$ there exists a finite $S \subset \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}$ containing $S^{\prime}$ such that $\mathcal{O}_{S}$ is a principal domain.

Proof: The semigroup homomorphism

$$
I(\mathcal{O}) \longrightarrow I\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}\right), \mathcal{A} \longmapsto \mathcal{A}_{S}=\mathcal{O}_{S} \mathcal{A}
$$

extends to the exact sequence of group homomorphisms

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \longrightarrow\langle S\rangle \longrightarrow I^{*}(\mathcal{O}) \longrightarrow I^{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}\right) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\langle S\rangle$ denotes the group generated by $S$.
Now let $\left\{\mathcal{A}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{A}_{h}\right\}$ be a system of representatives of the class $\operatorname{group} \operatorname{cl}(\mathcal{O})$ and

$$
S=S^{\prime} \cup\left\{\text { prime divisors of } \mathcal{A}_{1} \cdot \ldots \cdot \mathcal{A}_{h}\right\} .
$$

For each ideal $\mathcal{A}$ of $K$ we find $a \in K$ and $i \in\{1, \ldots, h\}$ such that $\mathcal{A}_{S}=\left(a \mathcal{A}_{i}\right)_{S}=a \mathcal{O}_{S}$ because of $\mathcal{A}_{i} \in\langle S\rangle$ and (1.8).

Theorem 1.6 (DIRICHLET's Unit Theorem) For finite $S \subset$ Spec $\mathcal{O}$ the group of units $\mathcal{O}_{S}^{*}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{S}$ is finitely generated.

Corollary 1.7 For each natural number $n$ the factor group $\mathcal{O}_{S}^{*} / \mathcal{O}_{S}^{* n}$ is finite.

### 1.2.3 SHAFAREVIČ's Finiteness Theorem

Lemma 1.8 (global criterion for good reduction) Let $S$ be a finite subset of $\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{S}$ such that $\mathcal{O}_{S}$ is a principal domain. The elliptic curve $E^{\prime} / K$ has good reduction outside of $S$ iff it has an $\mathcal{O}_{S}$-model $E / \mathcal{O}_{S}$ such that $\Delta\left(E / \mathcal{O}_{S}\right) \in \mathcal{O}_{S}^{*}$.

Proof: The discriminant condition is sufficient by the local criterion 1.3.

Assume conversely that for each $\mathcal{P} \in T=\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O} \backslash S$ there is a model

$$
E_{\mathcal{P}} / \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}}: Y^{2}=4 X^{3}-g_{2 \mathcal{P}} X-g_{3 \mathcal{P}}
$$

of $E^{\prime} / K$ with $\Delta_{\mathcal{P}}=\Delta\left(E_{\mathcal{P}} / \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}}\right) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}}^{*}$. With obvious notations we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{2}^{\prime}=u_{\mathcal{P}}^{4} \cdot g_{2 \mathcal{P}}, g_{3}^{\prime}=u_{\mathcal{P}}^{6} \cdot g_{3 \mathcal{P}}, \Delta^{\prime}=u_{\mathcal{P}}^{12} \Delta_{\mathcal{P}} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for suitable $u_{\mathcal{P}} \in K, \mathcal{P} \in T$. Without loss of generality we can assume that we start with a model $E^{\prime} / \mathcal{O}_{K}$, hence $g_{i}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$. Let $\left\{\mathcal{P}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{P}_{r}\right\}$ be the set of prime divisors of $\Delta^{\prime} \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$. Then

$$
u_{\mathcal{P}} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}}^{*} \quad \text { for } \quad \mathcal{P} \in T \backslash\left\{\mathcal{P}_{1}, \ldots m \mathcal{P}_{r}\right\}
$$

by the last identities of (1.9) and our assumptions. So $\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}} u_{\mathcal{P}}\right)_{\mathcal{P} \in T}$ belongs to the restricted product group (with components 1 almost everywhere)

$$
\prod_{\mathcal{P} \in T}^{\prime} I^{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} I^{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}\right) .
$$

Since $\mathcal{O}_{S}$ is principal we can represent our tuple by $\mathcal{O}_{S} u, u \in K$; so

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\mathcal{P}}=\varepsilon_{\mathcal{P}} u, \varepsilon_{\mathcal{P}} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}}^{*} \text { for all } \mathcal{P} \in T . \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we define the elliptic curve

$$
E / \mathcal{O}_{S}: Y^{2}=X^{3}-g_{2} X-g_{3}
$$

setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{2}=g_{2}^{\prime} / u^{4}, \quad g_{3}=g_{3}^{\prime} / u^{6} \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coefficients of the equation of $E$ differ from those of $E_{\mathcal{P}}$ only by local units because of (1.11), (1.9) and (1.10). This is also true for $\Delta=\Delta\left(E / \mathcal{O}_{S}\right)$ and $\Delta^{\prime}$ for the same reasons. Therefore $\Delta \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}}^{*}$ for all $\mathcal{P} \in T$, hence $\Delta \in \mathcal{O}_{S}^{*}$.

Theorem 1.9 (SHAFAREVIC̆) Let $K$ be a number field, $\mathcal{O}=\mathcal{O}_{K}$ its ring of integers and $S$ a finite set of prime ideals of $\mathcal{O}$. Then, up to $K$-isomorphy, there are only finitely many elliptic curves $E / K$ with good reduction outside of $S$.

Proof: Without loss of generality we can assume that all prime divisors of 2 and 3 belong to $S$. So we can work locally along $T=\operatorname{Spec\mathcal {O}} \backslash S$ and also globally with WEIERSTRASS normal forms in the narrow sense of (1.6). The class of all elliptic curves $E / K$ with good reduction outside of $S$ is denoted by $\mathcal{E}(K, S)$. The domain can be assumed to be principal by Corollary 1.5. Each member of $\mathcal{E}(K, S)$ has models $E / \mathcal{O}_{S}$ with $\Delta\left(E / \mathcal{O}_{S}\right) \in \mathcal{O}_{S}^{*}$ by Lemma 1.8. Together with Proposition 1.2 (iv) we see that the map

$$
\delta: \mathcal{E}(K, S) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S}^{*} / \mathcal{O}_{S}^{* 12}, E / \mathcal{O}_{S} \longmapsto \Delta\left(E / \mathcal{O}_{S}\right) \bmod ^{\times} \mathcal{O}_{S}^{* 12}
$$

is well-defined. The image is finite by Corollary 1.7. So it suffices to prove that for a given $S$-unit $D$ there exist only finitely many elliptic curves

$$
E / \mathcal{O}_{S}: Y^{2}=X^{3}-g_{2} X-g_{3}
$$

with $\Delta\left(E / \mathcal{O}_{S}\right)=D$. This follows immediately from the definition of the discriminant and the next lemma.

Lemma 1.10 With the above notations the diophantine equation

$$
U^{3}-27 V^{2}=D
$$

has only finitely many solutions $u, v$ in $\mathcal{O}_{S}$.

### 1.2.4 Basic References

For an introduction to the classical theory of elliptic and modular functions we refer to [46]. All we need in I. 1 can be found in the first chapters there. The omitted proofs of some basic results on elliptic curves over finite fields are contained in [41]. $K$-isomorphy of curves needs in general the finer scheme language. It will be necessarily used later. Our style of writing is a good preparation. The basic introduction is HARTSHORNE's book [27]. Proofs of the two basic finiteness theorems 1.4 and 1.6 can be found in [16].

Our proof of SHAFAREVIČ's Finiteness Theorem for elliptic curves is a detailed version of SERRE's proof in [69]. The theorem was announced by SHAFAREVIČ on the

International Congress in Stockholm 1962, together with a far-reaching conjecture on algebraic curves over number fields (SHAFAREVIČ-conjecture) proved by FALTINGS in 1983 together with the MORDELL-conjecture as consequence. The diophantine equation in Lemma 1.10 can be solved effectively by methods of BAKER [4], see also SERRE's lectures [71]. Altogether one has an effective way for finding up to isomorphy all elliptic curves over a fixed number field with prescribed places of bad reduction. An algorithm has been established by TATE [88].

Recently ESTRADA-SARLABOUS, see Appendix I, found a way to transfer the methods and the effective result to PICARD curves

$$
C: Y^{3}=X^{4}+G_{2} X^{2}+G_{3} X+G_{4}
$$

of genus 3. These curves play a central role in all the following chapters.

## 2 PICARD Curves

### 2.1 The Moduli Space of PICARD Curves

Definition 2.1 Let $C^{\prime}$ be a compact algebraic curve over $\mathbb{C}$. It is called a PICARD curve, if it is isomorphic to a plane projective curve $C / \mathbb{C}$ of the following equation type:

$$
C^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\sim} C: W Y^{3}=\sum_{i=0}^{4} G_{i} W^{i} X^{4-i}, \quad G_{0} \neq 0
$$

In affine coordinates the plane PICARD curve $C$ is described by

$$
C: Y^{3}=G_{0} X^{4}+G_{1} X^{3}+G_{2} X^{2}+G_{3} X+G_{4} .
$$

One has to add the point $\infty=(0: 0: 1)$ in order to obtain the projective model from the affine one. By means of projective TSCHIRNHAUS transformation one can reduce the equations to the following normal forms

$$
\begin{align*}
W Y^{3} & =X^{4}+G_{2} W^{2} X^{2}+G_{3} W^{3} X+G_{4} W^{4} \quad \text { (projective) }  \tag{2.1}\\
Y^{3} & =X^{4}+G_{2} X^{2}+G_{3} X+G_{4}=p_{4}(X) \quad \text { (affine) }
\end{align*}
$$

The singular locus of

$$
C: F(W, X, Y)=W Y^{3}-X^{4}-G_{2} W^{2} X^{2}-G_{3} W^{3} X-G_{4} W=0
$$

can be determined by solving the system of homogeneous equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=\partial F / \partial W=\partial F / \partial X=\partial F / \partial Y=0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The point $\infty$ is a smooth one because $\partial F / \partial W(0,0,1)=1$. So all singular points of $C$ lie in the affine part. It is easy to see that only the intersection points with the line $L_{0}: Y=0$ are possible singularities. These are the points

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i}=\left(1: a_{i}: 0\right), \quad i=1, \ldots, 4 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{4}$ are the zeros of $p_{4}(X)$. As in the case of elliptic curves we have a discriminant criterion: $\Delta(C) \neq 0$. The discriminant of $C$ is defined as $\Delta(C)=$ $\prod_{i \neq j}\left(a_{j}-a_{i}\right)$. In terms of the coefficients of $F$ it is described by

$$
\Delta(C)=16 G_{2}^{4} \cdot G_{4}-128 G_{2}^{2} \cdot G_{4}^{2}-4 G_{2}^{3} \cdot G_{3}^{2}+144 G_{2} G_{3}^{2} G_{4}-27 G_{3}^{4}+256 G_{4}^{3}
$$

The picture (2.4) gives an imagination of (the real part of) a PICARD curve in normal form with exactly one (real) singularity.


The line $L_{\infty}$ touches $C$ at $\infty$ of order (intersection number) 4 .

We look now for the moduli space $\mathbf{M}$ of PICARD curves in the rough sense: to find a complex-algebraic structure on the set of isomorphy classes of these curves. More precisely, this will be done for smooth curves, and then we look for a natural compactification and interpretation:

$$
\{\text { smooth PICARD curves }\} / \text { Isom. } \Longleftrightarrow \mathbf{M}^{0} \subset \mathbf{M}
$$

Set

$$
\mathbb{C}_{0}^{4}=\left\{\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{4}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{4} ; z_{1}+\ldots+z_{4}=0\right\} \subset \mathbb{C}^{4}
$$

and let $\mathcal{C}$ be the following analytic family of PICARD curves:

$$
\mathcal{C}=\left\{\left((w: x: y),\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{4}\right)\right) \in \mathbb{P}^{2}(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{C}_{0}^{4} ; w y^{3}=\prod_{i=1}^{4}\left(x-a_{i} w\right)\right\}
$$

Without change of the notation $\mathcal{C}$ we omit the special singular fibre with $W Y^{3}=X^{4}$ over 0 . All other PICARD curves are represented in $\mathcal{C}$ up to isomorphy. We have the following commutative diagrams

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
C_{a} & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{C} & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{P}^{2} & \times \mathbb{C}_{0}^{4}  \tag{2.5}\\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
\{a\} & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{C}_{0}^{4} \backslash 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}_{0}^{4} & =\mathbb{P}^{3}=\mathbb{P}^{2}
\end{array}
$$

with obvious projections and identifications.

The symmetric group $S_{4}$ acts on $\mathbb{C}_{0}^{4}$ by permutation of coordinates. This action goes down to $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. The compact quotient surface $\hat{\mathbf{M}}=\mathbb{P}^{2} / S_{4}$ is normal, algebraic and, by LÜROTH's theorem, rational.

We go back to $\mathbb{P}^{2}=\mathbb{P}_{0}^{3}:=\mathbb{P}_{0}^{4}$ writing the elements as homogeneous quadruples $\left(a_{1}: \ldots: a_{4}\right), a_{1}+\ldots+a_{4}=0$. Now we choose four points in general position. In order to be explicit we choose

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
P_{1}=(-3: 1: 1: 1) \quad, \quad P_{2}=(1:-3: 1: 1)  \tag{2.6}\\
P_{3}=(1: 1:-3: 1) \quad, \quad P_{4}=(1: 1: 1:-3)
\end{array}
$$

The line through $P_{i}, P_{j}$ is denoted by $L_{i j}=L_{j i}$. These six lines form a reduced divisor

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\Delta}=L_{12}+L_{13}+L_{14}+L_{23}+L_{24}+L_{34} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ as described in picture (2.8)


Obviously the action of the symmetric group $S_{4}$ restricts to an action on $\mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash \Delta$. We set

$$
\mathbf{M}^{0}:=\left(\mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash \text { 㚑 }\right) / S_{4} \subset \mathbf{M}:=\mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{4}\right\} \subset \hat{\mathbf{M}}:=\mathbb{P}^{2} / S_{4}
$$

Two plane PICARD curves $C, C^{\prime}$ are called linearly isomorphic, if there is a $G \in G l_{3}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $G^{*} C=C^{\prime}$

