

---

# Partition of Unity

## Differential Geometry II Summer 2020

---

**Lemma 34:** Let  $M$  be a smooth manifold with boundary. Let  $\{U_\iota\}_{\iota \in I}$  be an open covering of  $M$ . There exist a countable family  $\{\lambda_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  of non-negative smooth functions with compact support, such that

- (i) **refinement condition:** For any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  there is a  $\iota \in I$  such that  $\text{supp}(\lambda_k) \subset U_\iota$
- (ii) **locally finiteness:** for each  $p \in M$  there is an open subset  $U \subset M$ ,  $p \in U$  such that

$$\#\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid \text{supp}(\lambda_k) \cap U \neq \emptyset\} < \infty.$$

- (iii) **partition of unity:**

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \equiv 1.$$

*Proof:* Since we defined manifolds slightly differently than in many "classical textbooks" we will structure the proof in such a way that it is useful in these other contexts. Most arguments are taken from Helga Baum's script with deliberately editing if deemed appropriate.

**Step 1:** Let  $M$  be a topological space, which admits a countable basis  $(B_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , i.e. for every open subset  $U \subset M$  and  $p \in U$  there is a  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $p \in B_k \subset U$ .  $M$  is said to satisfy the **second countability axiom**. Moreover, assume that  $M$  is **locally compact**, i.e. for each  $p \in M$  there is a compact neighborhood. Then for every open covering  $\{W_\iota\}_{\iota \in I}$  there is a **locally finite** refinement consisting of a subfamily,  $K \subset \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\{B_\kappa\}_{\kappa \in K}$  such that the closures are compact: For each  $\kappa \in K$  there is a  $\iota \in I$  such that  $B_\kappa \subset W_\iota$  and each  $p \in M$  admits a neighbourhood  $N$  that  $\{\kappa \in K \mid B_\kappa \cap N \neq \emptyset\}$  is finite.

*Remark:* Spaces admitting refinements for any open covering (without the restriction on the choice of the new open subsets as being from a given family and having compact closures) are called **paracompact**.

*Proof of Step 1:* For  $p \in M$  we fix a neighborhood  $W(p)$  with compact closure.

$$\mathcal{B} := \{B_k \mid \exists p \in M : B_k \subset W(p)\}$$

is a smaller countable base of  $M$ ; Indeed, let  $U \subset M$  be any open subset. Then

$$U = \bigcup_{x \in M} W(x) \cap U :$$

and therefore

$$U = \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}, B \subset U} B.$$

In particular, for all  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  the closure is contained in the closure of  $W(x)$  and hence compact.

Now we define

$$\mathcal{B}' := \{B \in \mathcal{B} \mid \exists \iota \in I : B \subset W_\iota\} =: \{B_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}.$$

Since  $\{W_\iota\}$  is an open covering, this is once again a countable base of open subsets with compact closure. Now we define a nested sequence of open subsets with compact closure.  $A_1 := B_1$ . Let  $A_k$

be already defined. Since its closure is compact there exist an  $m_k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\overline{A_k} \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{m_k} B_j$$

Define

$$A_{k+1} := A_k \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{m_k} B_j.$$

Notice

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k = M,$$

and

$$\overline{A_{k+1}} \setminus A_k \subset A_{k+2} \setminus \overline{A_{k-1}}$$

are compact subsets of open subsets for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , where  $A_0 := A_{-1} := \emptyset$ . Hence

$$\overline{A_{k+1}} \setminus A_k = \bigcup B \in \mathcal{B}', B \subset A_{k+2} \setminus \overline{A_{k-1}}$$

and there is a finite set  $\{B_j^k\}_{j=1}^{N_k}$  of elements of  $\mathcal{B}'$  contained in  $A_{k+2} \setminus \overline{A_{k-1}}$  covering  $\overline{A_{k+1}} \setminus A_k$ .

Now,  $\{B_j^k | k \in \mathbb{N}, j = 1, \dots, N_k\} \subset \mathcal{B}'$  is an open covering refining  $\{U_\iota\}_{\iota \in I}$ , of open subsets with compact closure.

It is locally finite: Let  $p \in M$ . There is a  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  such that  $p \in A_{k+1} \setminus \overline{A_k}$ . Since for any  $\ell \geq k+2$  or  $\ell \leq k-2$

$$A_{k+1} \setminus \overline{A_k} \cap A_{\ell+2} \setminus \overline{A_{\ell-1}} = \emptyset$$

we have that

$$B_j^\ell \cap A_{k+1} \setminus \overline{A_k} \neq \emptyset$$

only if  $\ell = k-1, k, k+1$  which consists of only finitely many choices, and the claim follows.

**Step 2:** Let  $M$  be a **separable** manifold, i.e. there is a countable, dense subset  $D = \{p_k | k \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset M$ . Then  $M$  satisfies the assumptions of Step 1 on its topology.

*Proof of Step 2:* Let  $\mathcal{A} := \{(U_\nu, \varphi_\nu, V_\nu)\}_{\nu \in N}$  be a differentiable atlas of  $M$ . For  $p \in M$  we define

$$R_p := \sup\{r > 0 | B(p, r) \subset U_\nu \text{ for } \nu \in N\} \in (0, \infty]$$

making use of a metric fixed on  $M$ .  $R_p$  depends continuously on  $p$ . Define

$$r_p := \min\left(\frac{R_p}{2}, 1\right).$$

Then

$$\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} B(p_k, r_{p_k}) = M.$$

Indeed: If  $d(p, p_k) < \epsilon$  then  $|r_{p_k} - r_p| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  and thus  $r_{p_k} > r_p - \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . So, if  $\epsilon < \frac{2}{3}r_p$  then  $p \in B(p_k, r_{p_k})$  and the claim follows since  $D$  is dense. To each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we assign a chart  $(U_k, \varphi_k, V_k) \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $B(p_k, r_{p_k}) \subset U_k$ . Then

$$\{(U_k, \varphi_k, V_k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$$

is a countable differentiable atlas of  $M$ . In particular,

$$\{\varphi_k(B(x, r)) | k \in \mathbb{N}, x \in V_k \cap \mathbb{Q}^n, r \in \mathbb{Q}_+, B(x, r) \subset V_k\}$$

is a countable base of the topology of  $M$ . Each point admits a neighbourhood homeomorphic to a closed disk and is hence compact.

---

From Step 1 follows that for each open covering  $\{W_\iota\}_{\iota \in I}$  there is a locally finite subset

$$\{B_k := \varphi_k(B(x_k, r_k)) \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

of the base (hence countable) such that for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  there is a  $\iota_k \in I$  such that  $B_k \subset U_{\iota_k}$ .

*Remark:* The elements of the basis  $\{B_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  we constructed have compact closure by construction. We proved a statement more general than needed in Step 1 to be aligned with the literature (see Helga Baums Script, Satz 1.27). An easy exercise left is to shorten the whole proof a bit by taking advantage of this fact.

**Step 3:** There are smooth functions  $\tilde{\lambda}_k : M \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with support  $\text{supp} \tilde{\lambda}_k = B_k$  and  $\tilde{\lambda}_k|_{B_k} > 0$ .

*Proof of Step 3:*  $B_k = \varphi_k(B(x_k, r_k))$  for the chart  $(U_k, \varphi_k, V_k)$  assigned to  $B_k$ . We start with the well-known fact, that via

$$f(x) := \begin{cases} e^{-\frac{1}{x}} & \text{for } x > 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } x \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

we define a smooth function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ . Then

$$\tilde{\lambda}_k(p) = f(r_k^2 - (\varphi_k^{-1}(p) - x_k)^2).$$

on  $B_k$  and zero outside satisfies the required properties.

Finally,

$$\lambda_k = \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_k}{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\lambda}_k}.$$

By construction all three properties of the Lemma are satisfied.