### Generating series for special cycles on unitary Shimura varieties

#### A Survey Stephen Kudla (Toronto)

Moduli and Automorphic Forms Opening Event Berlin January 11, 2013 The connections between

the geometry and arithmetic of algebraic cycles on locally symmetric varieties  $M_{\Gamma} = \Gamma \setminus D$ 

and

Fourier coefficients of modular forms

is a fascinating subject with a long history.

In this lecture I will discuss old and new results in the case:

D = open unit ball in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ 

 $\Gamma$  = arithmetic subgroup of U(n, 1).

Here are the topics:

- §1. A classical example
- §2. Ball quotients, their special cycles and modular forms (joint work with John Millson)
- §3. The arithmetic theory (joint work with M. Rapoport)
- §4. The generating series for arithmetic 0-cycles

## §1. A classical example:

The most familiar example of a locally symmetric variety is the quotient:

 $M_{\Gamma} = \Gamma \setminus D,$   $\Gamma = SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \subset SL_2(\mathbb{R}),$  $D = \text{open unit ball in } \mathbb{C}$  $\simeq \text{ upper half plane.}$ 

• The complex geometry is very simple<sup>1</sup>:

$$j: \Gamma \setminus D^* \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}).$$

• The arithmetic is very beautiful due to the fact that:

 $\Gamma \setminus D$  = moduli space for elliptic curves.

<sup>1</sup>A point is added at the cusp.

Because of the moduli space structure, there are certain special points on  $\Gamma \setminus D$ : the CM points.

• For most points  $[z] \in \Gamma \setminus D$  with corresponding elliptic curve

$$E_z = \mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}z)$$

we have

$$\operatorname{End}(E_z) = \mathbb{Z}.$$

• A point  $[z] \in \Gamma \setminus D$  is a CM point if

$$\operatorname{End}(E_z) = \left(egin{array}{c} \operatorname{a ring of integers in an} \ \operatorname{imaginary quadratic field} \ \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-t}). \end{array}
ight)$$

The curve  $E_z$  is then said to have complex multiplication.

• For a positive integer *t*, let

$$Z(t) = \sum_{\substack{[z] \ ext{End}(E_z) = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-t}]}} [z] = 0$$
-cycle in  $M_{\Gamma} = \Gamma \setminus D^*$ .

• Its image in cohomology is simply its degree:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} H^2(M_{\Gamma},\mathbb{Z}) & \stackrel{\sim}{\longrightarrow} & \mathbb{Z} \\ & & & \\ [Z(t)] & \mapsto & H(t) & = \#Z(t) \end{array}$$

where H(t) is the class number of the ring  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-t}]$ . This essentially goes back to Gauss.



#### • A more striking fact is the following result:

#### Theorem (Zagier, 1975)

The generating series  $(\tau = u + iv, q = e^{2\pi i\tau})$ 

$$\phi(\tau) = -\frac{1}{12} + \sum_{t>0} H(t) q^t + (!!!)$$

for the degrees of the 0-cycles Z(t) is a (non-holomorphic) modular form of weight  $\frac{3}{2}$ .

To obtain a modular form, an extra bit

$$(!!!) = \sum_{n} \frac{1}{16\pi} v^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{1}^{\infty} e^{-4\pi n^{2} v r} r^{-\frac{3}{2}} dr q^{-n^{2}}$$

must be added to the generating series.

Some background about modular forms:

Recall that a modular form *f* of weight *k* is a holomorphic function of *τ* = *u* + *iv* ∈ 𝔅, *v* > 0, such that

$$f((a\tau+b)(c\tau+d)^{-1})=(c\tau+d)^k f(\tau)$$

for all

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma' = a \text{ subgroup of finite}$$
index in  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .

 Due to the invariance under a suitable power of the translation τ → τ + 1, a modular form has a Fourier series

$$f(\tau) = \sum_n a(n) q^n, \qquad q = e^{2\pi i \tau}.$$

Some philosophy:

- The Fourier coefficients *a*(*n*) of a modular form satisfy many 'mysterious' relations and seem to have some 'unreasonable effectiveness' as models of generating series arising in many areas of mathematics.
- The statement that some generating series

$$\sum_{n} a(n) q^{n}$$

is a modular form is a very strong assertion concerning the 'coherence' of the a(n)'s.

• We will be interested in more exotic modular forms (possibly non-holomorphic) whose coefficients are cohomology classes, or cycle classes, for more general arithmetic quotients  $M_{\Gamma} = \Gamma \setminus D$ .

### §2. Ball Quotients:

These arithmetic quotients are constructed as follows:

- Fix an imaginary quadratic field k = Q(√Δ), Δ ∈ Z<sub><0</sub> with ring of integers O<sub>k</sub>, e.g. k = Q(i), O<sub>k</sub> = Z[i], and
- a *k*-vector space with hermitian form of signature (*n*, 1):

$$V = k^{n+1} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$$

e.g. 
$$(x, y) = x_1 \bar{y}_1 + \cdots + x_n \bar{y}_n - x_{n+1} \bar{y}_{n+1}$$

$$egin{aligned} D &= ext{space of negative lines in } \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \ &\simeq ext{open unit ball in } \mathbb{C}^n. \ &G &= U(V) = ext{isometry group of (, ) in } \operatorname{GL}_{n+1}(k). \ &G(\mathbb{R}) &\simeq U(n,1), \qquad D &\simeq U(n,1)/(U(n) imes U(1)) \end{aligned}$$

• The arithmetic subgroup  $\Gamma$  of *G* arises as follows:

 $L = (O_k)^{n+1} \subset V$ , an  $O_k$ -lattice  $\Gamma$  = the stabilizer of *L* in *G*. or a subgroup of finite index = a discrete subgroup of U(n, 1),

and the ball quotient is:

$$M_{\Gamma} = \Gamma \setminus D.$$

By general results (Bailey-Borel, Shimura, Deligne and others)

$$j: \Gamma ackslash D = M_{\Gamma} \quad \stackrel{\sim}{\longrightarrow} \quad X_{\Gamma}(\mathbb{C}) \ \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}(\mathbb{C}),$$

where  $X_{\Gamma}$  is a quasi-projective variety of dimension *n* defined over *k*.

• Special algebraic cycles in  $X_{\Gamma}$  can be defined as follows:

 $x \in V$ , a vector with (x, x) > 0,

$$D_x = \{ z \in D \mid x \perp z \}$$

= negative lines perpendicular to x

= a complex (n-1) ball in *D*.

 $\Gamma_x = \text{stablizer of } x \text{ in } \Gamma$ 

$$Z(x) = \Gamma_x \setminus D_x \longrightarrow \Gamma \setminus D$$

= a divisor in  $X_{\Gamma}$ . (dep. only on the  $\Gamma$  orbit of x)

• For *t* > 0, there is a finite sum of such divisors:

$$Z(t) = \sum_{\substack{x \in L \\ (x,x)=t \\ \text{mod } \Gamma}} Z(x).$$



 A little more generally, there are special algebraic cycles of any codimension *r*, where 1 ≤ *r* ≤ *n*:

$$\mathbf{x} = [x_1, \dots, x_r] \in V^r, \text{ an } r\text{-tuple with}$$
$$(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}) = ((x_i, x_j)) > 0$$
$$D_{\mathbf{x}} = \{ z \in D \mid z \perp \mathbf{x} \} \simeq (n - r)\text{-ball in } D$$
$$Z(\mathbf{x}) = \Gamma_{\mathbf{x}} \setminus D_{\mathbf{x}} \longrightarrow \Gamma \setminus D$$

= algebraic cycle in  $X_{\Gamma}$  of codimension r.

### • Again we can define certain composite cycles:

 $T = {}^{t}\overline{T} > 0$ , a positive definite hermitian in  $M_r(O_k)$  $Z(T) = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{x} \in L^r \\ (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}) = T \\ \text{mod } \Gamma}} Z(\mathbf{x}).$ 



With this big supply of algebraic cycles in  $X_{\Gamma}$  we can construct generating functions.

For  $T \in \operatorname{Herm}_{r}(O_{k})_{>0}$ , there are cohomology class

 $[Z(T)] \in H^{2r}(X_{\Gamma}, \mathbb{C}).$ 

(We also need classes for singular T's.)

#### Theorem

(K.-Millson). For any *r* with  $1 \le r \le n$ , the generating series

$$\phi_r(\tau) = \sum_{T \ge 0} [Z(T)] q^T, \qquad q^T = e^{2\pi i \operatorname{tr}(T\tau)}$$

is a hermitian modular form of weight n + 1 with values in  $H^{2r}(X_{\Gamma})$ .

• A hermitian modular form is a holomorphic function  $\phi$  on the space

$$\mathfrak{H}_r = \{ \tau \in M_r(\mathbb{C}) \mid v = \frac{1}{2i} (\tau - {}^t \overline{\tau}) \in \operatorname{Herm}_r(\mathbb{C})_{>0} \},\$$

such that for  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma' \subset U(r, r),$ 

$$\phi((a\tau+b)(c\tau+d)^{-1}) = \det(c\tau+d)^{n+1} \phi(\tau).$$

This theorem is proved by constructing a (non-holomorphic) theta series

$$heta_r( au, L) = \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in L^r} arphi_{\mathcal{K}\mathcal{M}}( au, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{A}^{(r,r)}(X_{\Gamma})$$

valued in the deRham complex of  $X_{\Gamma} \simeq \Gamma \setminus D$ . This is a (non-holomorphic) modular form. Its image in cohomology is the generating series!

$$\phi_r(\tau) = [\theta(\tau, L)] \in H^{2r}(X_{\Gamma}).$$

# §3. The arithmetic theory (joint work with M. Rapoport)

• There is deeper arithmetic theory of special algebraic cycles on ball quotients due to the fact that

$$X_{\Gamma} = egin{pmatrix} {
m a moduli space for polarized} \ {
m abelian varieties} \ {
m O}_k ext{-action of signature }(n,1) \end{pmatrix}$$

i.e., certain algebraic complex tori of dimension n + 1.

 This definition of X<sub>Γ</sub> can be extended to give a moduli scheme X<sub>Γ</sub>:

 $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}_{\Gamma}$  has dimension n + 1.



- The special cycles Z(T) in X<sub>Γ</sub> can be described in terms of the moduli of abelian varieties:
- Given  $(A, \iota, \lambda)$  in  $X_{\Gamma}$ , and  $(E, \iota, \lambda_E)$ , a CM elliptic curve

 $\operatorname{Hom}_{O_k}(E,A)$ 

has an  $O_k$ -valued hermitian form h.

• Then  $Z(T) = \begin{cases} \text{locus in } X_{\Gamma} \text{ of } (A, E, \mathbf{x}) \\ \mathbf{x} = [x_1, \dots, x_r] \\ h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}) = (h(x_i, x_i)) = T \end{cases}.$ 

This allows us to generalize the definition to give special cycles Z(T) in X = X<sub>Γ</sub>, extending the Z(T)'s in the generic fiber.



- We would again like to form generating functions.
- The role of the cohomology groups H<sup>2r</sup>(X<sub>Γ</sub>) is now played by the arithmetic Chow groups CH<sup>r</sup>(X<sub>Γ</sub>), 0 ≤ r ≤ n + 1.
- To obtain classes

$$\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}(T)\in \widehat{\operatorname{CH}}^r(\mathcal{X}_{\Gamma})$$

from the  $\mathcal{Z}(T)$ 's, we still need to define Green currents...

The goal is to define

$$\widehat{\phi}_r( au) = \sum_T \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}(T) \, q^T$$

and to show that it is a (non-holomorphic) hermitian modular form with values in  $\widehat{\operatorname{CH}}^r(\mathcal{X}_{\Gamma})$ ....

This is work in progress!

## §4. Generating series for arithmetic 0-cycles

For  $T \in \operatorname{Herm}_{n+1}(O_k) > 0$ ,

expected dim  $\mathcal{Z}(T) = 0$ .

This is usually false! **Theorem 1. (K.-Rapoport)** (i)  $\mathcal{Z}(T)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \emptyset$ . (ii) Either  $\mathcal{Z}(T)$  is empty or

 $\operatorname{supp} \mathcal{Z}(T) \subset \mathcal{X}_p^{\operatorname{ss}}$ 

for a unique prime p, non-split in k.

(iii) Suppose that p is inert in k. Then  $\mathcal{Z}(T)$  is a 0-cycle if and only if

$$T \simeq \operatorname{diag}(1_{n-1}, p^a, p^b) \in \operatorname{Herm}_{n+1}((O_k)_p), \quad 0 \leq a \leq b.$$

**Definition:** In case (iii), we call T 'good'.



**Theorem 2.** (K.-R.) When  $T \in \operatorname{Herm}_{n+1}(O_k) > 0$  is good the 0-cycle  $\mathcal{Z}(T)$  has arithmetic degree

$$\widehat{\deg} \mathcal{Z}(T) = \text{ \# of points } \cdot \mu_p(T).$$
$$\mu_p(T) = \text{local multiplicity.}$$

Moreover,

$$\mu_p(T) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\ell=0}^{a} p^{\ell} (a+b+1-2\ell).$$

Using this formula, and counting points in the support of  $\mathcal{Z}(T)$ , we obtain a partial modularity result:

**Theorem 3.** (K.-R.). The partial generating series

$$\widehat{\phi}_{n+1}^{\text{partial}}(\tau) = \sum_{\substack{T \in \operatorname{Herm}_n(O_k) > 0 \\ T \text{ good}}} \widehat{\operatorname{deg}} \, \mathcal{Z}(T) \, \boldsymbol{q}^T,$$

is part of the *q*-expansion of a (non-holomorphic) hermitian modular form of weight n + 1.

More precisely, there is an (incoherent) Eisenstein series:

$$\mathcal{E}(\tau, \boldsymbol{s}) = C(\boldsymbol{s}) \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\infty} \setminus \Gamma} \det(\boldsymbol{c}\tau + \boldsymbol{d})^{-n-1} \, rac{\det v(\tau)^{rac{s}{2}}}{|\det(\boldsymbol{c}\tau + \boldsymbol{d})|^s} \, \Phi(\gamma, \boldsymbol{s}),$$

convergent for  $s \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > n + 1$ , such that

$$\mathcal{E}(\tau, \mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0},$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}'(\tau, \mathbf{0}) = \widehat{\phi}_{n+1}^{\text{partial}}(\tau) + \sum_{T \text{ other}} a(T, \mathbf{v}(\tau)) q^T.$$

Via *p*-adic uniformization of  $\mathcal{X}_p^{ss}$ , we have **Conjecture:** For any  $T \in \operatorname{Herm}_{n+1}(O_k)_{>0}$ , the virtual 0-cycle  $\mathcal{Z}(T)$  has arithmetic degree

$$\widehat{\operatorname{deg}} \mathcal{Z}(T) = \# \text{ of "components" } \cdot \mu_{\rho}(T).$$

with local multiplicity

$$\mu_{\mathcal{P}}(T) := \chi(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}(x_1)} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} \ldots \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}(x_{n+1})}),$$

where  $\mathcal{Z}(x_i)$  are certain special cycles on the Rapoport-Zink space  $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}(n, 1)$ .

Moreover,

$$\mu_p(T) = c_p \, \alpha'_p(S,T)$$

for the derivative of a representation density for hermitian forms and an explicit constant  $c_p$ .



Theorem.(Terstiege (2010)) For  $T \in \operatorname{Herm}_3(O_k)_{>0}$ , with  $T \sim \operatorname{diag}(p^a, p^b, p^c), \qquad 0 \le a \le b \le c,$ 

the multiplicity of each connected component is

$$\mu_{p}(T) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}(x_{1})} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}(x_{2})} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}(x_{3})})$$
$$= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{a} \sum_{\ell=0}^{a+b-2k} (-1)^{k} \left( (k+\ell) p^{2k+\ell} - (k+\ell+c+1) p^{a+b-\ell} \right).$$

Moreover  $\mu_p(T) = c_p \alpha'_p(S, T)$ , as predicted.

Terstiege also does the counting so that:

**Corollary** (T). For the incoherent Eisenstein series  $\mathcal{E}(\tau, s)$  on U(3,3),

$$\mathcal{E}'(\tau, \mathbf{0}) = \widehat{\phi}_{\mathbf{3}}^{\text{partial}}(\tau) + \sum_{T \text{ other}} \mathbf{a}(T, \mathbf{v}(\tau)) \mathbf{q}^{T},$$

where all positive definite coefficients coincide!

- These results provide evidence for the existence of the hermitian modular forms φ
  <sub>r</sub>(τ)'s.
- There are many fascinating open problems in this area and a lot of work remains to be done.

• • •